arXiv:1605.09656v1 [math.QA] 31 May 2016

FEDOSOV DG MANIFOLDS AND GERSTENHABER ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH LIE PAIRS

MATHIEU STIÉNON AND PING XU

ABSTRACT. We study two cohomology groups $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$, which serve as replacements for the spaces of "polyvector fields" and "polydifferential operators" on a pair (L, A) of Lie algebroids. In particular, we prove that both $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ are Gerstenhaber algebras. Our approach is based on the construction of a homological vector field Q on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus L/A$ and of a dg foliation (which we call Fedosov dg Lie algebroid) on the resulting dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$. We also prove an Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs: the cohomological vector field Q constructed on $L[1] \oplus L/A$ by the Fedosov iteration method ensues from the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map established in [17].

CONTENTS

Introduction 2
Terminology and notations 5
Acknowledgements 6
1. Preliminaries 6
1.1. Lie algebroids and Lie pairs 6
1.2. Chevalley–Eilenberg differential 7
1.3. Polydifferential operators for a Lie algebroid 7
1.4. Connections and representations for Lie algebroids 8
1.5. Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms 9
1.6. Torsion-free connections extending the Bott representation 11
2. Polydifferential operators and polyvector fields for Lie pairs 12
2.1. Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology 12
2.2. Polydifferential operators 12
2.3. Polyvector fields 13
3. Fedosov dg manifolds and Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs 14
3.1. Homological perturbation 14
3.2. The coboundary operator δ 15
3.3. Fedosov dg manifold associated with a Lie pair 17
3.4. Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs 19
3.5. Proof of Theorem 3.7 20
4. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms 24
4.1. Contraction of the Fedosov dg manifold 24

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1406668 and DMS-1101827, and NSA grant H98230-14-1-0153.

4.2. First glimpse at matched pairs 26
4.3. Fedosov dg Lie algebroids 29
4.4. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ 31
4.5. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ 35
4.6. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ 36
4.7. Matched pair case 41
References 44

Introduction

The algebraic structure of the spaces of polyvector fields and of polydifferential operators on a manifold play a crucial role in deformation quantization. In fact, Kontsevich's famous formality theorem asserts that, for a smooth manifold M, the Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg map extends to an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism from the dgla of polyvector fields on M to the dgla of polydifferential operators on M [14, 30, 8].

In this paper, we study "polyvector fields" and "polydifferential operators" on Lie pairs. Throughout the paper, we use the symbol $\Bbbk$ to denote either of the fields $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$. A *Lie algebroid* over $\Bbbk$ is a $\Bbbk$-vector bundle $L \to M$ together with a bundle map $\rho : L \to TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Bbbk$ called *anchor* and a Lie bracket $[-,-]$ on the sections of L such that $\rho : \Gamma(L) \to \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \Bbbk$ is a morphism of Lie algebras and

$$[X, fY] = f[X,Y] + \big(\rho(X)f\big)Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \Bbbk)$. By a *Lie pair* (L, A), we mean an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow L$ of Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M.

Lie pairs arise naturally in a number of classical areas of mathematics such as Lie theory, complex geometry, foliation theory, and Poisson geometry. A complex manifold X determines a Lie pair over $\mathbb{C}$: $L = T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $A = T^{0,1}_X$. A foliation on a smooth manifold M determines a Lie pair over $\mathbb{R}$: $L = TM$ and A is the integrable distribution on M tangent to the foliation.

Given a Lie pair (L, A), the quotient L/A is naturally an A-module. When L is the tangent bundle to a manifold M and A is an integrable distribution on M, the infinitesimal A-action on L/A is given by the Bott connection [3].

Given a Lie pair (L, A), two cohomology groups serve as replacements for polyvector fields and polydifferential operators respectively. These two groups $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ are defined as follows. Denoting the algebra of smooth functions on the manifold M by R, we set $\mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} = \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}(L/A))$ for $k \geqslant 0$, $\mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} = R$, and $\mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} = \bigoplus_{k=-1}^\infty \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$. The Bott A-connection on L/A makes every $\mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ an A-module. The group $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is the Chevalley–Eilenberg hypercohomology of the complex of A-modules with trivial differential

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^2_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \cdots$$

Similarly, we set $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} = \bigoplus_{k=-1}^\infty \mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$, where $\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} = R$, $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} = \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$, and $\mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ with $k \geqslant 1$ is the tensor product $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ of $k+1$ copies of the left R-module $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$. Multiplication in $\mathcal{U}(L)$ from the left by elements of $\Gamma(A)$ induces an A-module structure on the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$. This action of A on $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ extends naturally to an action of A on $\mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ for each k. In fact, $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a cocommutative

coassociative coalgebra over R whose comultiplication $\Delta : \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a morphism of A-modules. Therefore, the Hochschild complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^2_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \cdots$$

is a complex of A-modules. The group $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is its Chevalley–Eilenberg hypercohomology.

For the Lie pair $L = T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $A = T^{0,1}_X$ arising from a complex manifold X, the Chevalley–Eilenberg hypercohomology group $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology group $H^\bullet(X, \wedge^\bullet T_X)$, while the Chevalley–Eilenberg hypercohomology group $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology group $HH^\bullet(X)$.

It is simple to see that both $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ are associative algebras — the multiplications in cohomology proceed from the wedge product in $\mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ and the tensor product of left R-modules in $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$. However, it is in no way obvious that these two cohomology groups carry Lie algebra structures. We are naturally led to the following central question.

Question. *Do the cohomology groups $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ associated with a Lie pair (L, A) admit Gerstenhaber algebra structures?*

To answer this question, we introduce the notion of Fedosov dg Lie algebroids — they were constructed independently by Batakidis–Voglaire in the special case of matched pairs [1]. Roughly speaking, a Fedosov dg Lie algebroid is a geometric object associated with a Lie pair (L, A) that engenders cochain complexes whose cohomology groups carry natural Gerstenhaber algebra structures. These engendered cochain complexes happen to be quasi-isomorphic (in a style reminiscent of Dolgushev's Fedosov resolutions [8]) to the cochain complexes used to define $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$.

Given a Lie pair (L, A) and having chosen some additional geometric data, one can endow the graded manifold $\mathcal{M} = L[1] \oplus L/A$ with a structure of dg manifold $(\mathcal{M}, Q)$ quasi-isomorphic to $(A[1], d_A)$. We will say that $(\mathcal{M}, Q)$ is a Fedosov dg manifold associated with the Lie pair (L, A). A Fedosov dg Lie algebroid associated with the Lie pair (L, A) is a dg Lie subalgebroid $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ of the tangent dg Lie algebroid $T_{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}$ of a Fedosov dg manifold $(\mathcal{M}, Q)$. In other words, $\mathcal{A}$ is the dg Lie algebroid encoding a dg foliation of $(\mathcal{M}, Q)$.

Since a Lie algebroid can be thought of as an extension of the tangent bundle of a manifold, the notions of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators admit extensions to the context of a Lie algebroid and these each carry a natural Gerstenhaber algebra structure [32, 33]. Likewise, the notions of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators can be extended in an appropriate sense to the context of a dg Lie algebroid. The corresponding cohomology groups are naturally Gerstenhaber algebras. The "polyvector fields" and "polydifferential operators" associated to a Fedosov dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ may be viewed geometrically as polyvector fields and polydifferential operators tangent to the dg foliation on the Fedosov dg manifold $(\mathcal{M}, Q)$. In fact, one can identify the "polyvector fields" and "polydifferential operators" on $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ to a pair of cochain complexes $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}, Q)$ and $(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}, Q)$, where $\mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denotes the formal polyvector fields and $\mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ the formal polydifferential operators tangent to the fibers of the vector bundle $L/A \to M$.

Using these ideas, we prove the following

Theorem A. *Given a Lie pair (L, A), choosing a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ and a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection determines Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$.*

When the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ admits a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ whose image $B := j(L/A)$ is a Lie subalgebroid of L, i.e. when the Lie algebroid $L = A \oplus B$ results from a matched pair of Lie algebroids $A \bowtie B$, the Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ admit an explicit description, for, in the matched pair case exceptionally, $(A[1] \oplus B, d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}})$ is a dg Lie algebroid over $(A[1], d_A)$.

Theorem B. *If the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ admits a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ whose image $B := j(L/A)$ is a Lie subalgebroid of L, then the dg manifold $(A[1] \oplus B, d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}})$ is a dg Lie algebroid over the dg manifold $(A[1], d_A)$, the cohomologies of the cochain complexes $\big(\mathrm{tot}(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \wedge^\bullet B)), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}} + 0\big)$ and $\big(\mathrm{tot}(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)^{\otimes \bullet}), d_A^{\mathcal{U}} \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$ inherit canonical Gerstenhaber algebra structures, and there are isomorphisms of Gerstenhaber algebras $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}) \cong H^\bullet\big(\mathrm{tot}(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \wedge^\bullet B)), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}} + 0\big)$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}) \cong H^\bullet\big(\mathrm{tot}(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)^{\otimes \bullet}), d_A^{\mathcal{U}} \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$.*

It is natural to ask whether, for nondescript Lie pairs, the Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ depend on the choices of splittings and connections made. This question will be addressed elsewhere; one can prove that the Gerstenhaber algebra structures are indeed independent of these choices and are therefore canonical.

In a forthcoming paper written in collaboration with Liao [19], we establish a Kontsevich–Duflo theorem identifying the Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ and $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ revealed in Theorem A.

The second main result of this paper is the proof of an Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs. Given a smooth manifold M, Emmrich–Weinstein [10] constructed a dg manifold $(T_M[1] \oplus T_M, Q)$ using Fedosov's iteration method (see also [8]) and proved that this dg manifold is, in a certain sense, equivalent to another one obtained by considering infinite jets of a geodesic exponential map. The Emmrich–Weinstein theorem was recently extended to $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifolds by Liao–Stiénon [18]. In this paper, we present two equivalent constructions of the Fedosov dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus L/A, Q)$ associated with the Lie pair (L, A). One is based on the Fedosov iteration method while the other makes use of a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map.

In [17], together with Laurent-Gengoux, we showed that each choice of a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ and of an L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection determines an exponential map $\exp : L/A \to \mathscr{L}/\mathscr{A}$. Here $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{A}$ are local Lie groupoids corresponding to the Lie algebroids L and A respectively. Considering infinite jets of this exponential map, we obtained an isomorphism of filtered R-coalgebras $\mathrm{pbw} : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \to \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$, which we called Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map. Transfering the canonical infinitesimal L-action by coderivations on $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ through pbw, we discovered a *flat* L-connection $\nabla^\natural$ on $S(L/A)$:

$$\nabla^\natural_l(s) = \mathrm{pbw}^{-1}\big(l \cdot \mathrm{pbw}(s)\big),$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $s \in \Gamma(S(L/A))$. The covariant Chevalley–Eilenberg differential

$$d_L^{\nabla^\natural} : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}\big((L/A)^\vee\big)) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}\big((L/A)^\vee\big))$$

of the induced flat L-connection on the dual bundle $\hat{S}\big((L/A)^\vee\big)$ is a derivation of degree $+1$ of the algebra $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(L/A)^\vee)$ of smooth functions on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus L/A$. As a consequence, $(L[1] \oplus L/A, d_L^{\nabla^\natural})$ is a dg manifold.

In Section 3.5, we prove the following Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs, which could be of independent interest.

Theorem C. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, let $j : L/A \to L$ be a splitting of the short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$, and let ∇ be an L-connection on L/A extending the Bott A-connection. If ∇ is torsion-free, then the cohomological vector field Q constructed on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus L/A$*

using the Fedosov iteration method coincides with the cohomological vector field $d_L^{\nabla^t}$ obtained using the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map associated to ∇ and j.

The above theorem reduces to the classical Emmrich–Weinstein theorem when $L = T_M$ and A is trivial.

Terminology and notations.

Natural numbers. We use the symbol $\mathbb{N}$ to denote the set of positive integers and the symbol $\mathbb{N}_0$ for the set of nonnegative integers.

Field $\Bbbk$ and ring R. We use the symbol $\Bbbk$ to denote the field of either real or complex numbers. The symbol R always denotes the algebra of smooth functions on M with values in $\Bbbk$.

Tensor products. For any two R-modules P and Q, we write $P \otimes_R Q$ to denote the tensor product of P and Q as R-modules and $P \otimes Q$ to denote the tensor product of P and Q regarded as $\Bbbk$-modules.

Completed symmetric algebra. Given a module M over a ring, the symbol $\hat{S}(M)$ denotes the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion of the symmetric algebra $S(M)$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of $S(M)$ generated by M.

Duality pairing. For every vector bundle $E \to M$, we define a duality pairing

$$\Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee)) \times \Gamma(S(E)) \to R$$

by

$$\langle \nu_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu_p | v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_q \rangle = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \prod_{k=1}^{p} \langle \nu_k | v_{\sigma(k)} \rangle & \text{if } p = q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multi-indices. Let $E \to M$ be a smooth vector bundle of finite rank r, let $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,r\}}$ be a local frame of E and let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1,\dots,r\}}$ be the dual local frame of $E^\vee$. Thus, we have $\langle \chi_i | \partial_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$. Given a multi-index $I = (I_1, I_2, \cdots, I_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we adopt the following multi-index notations:

$$I! = I_1! \cdot I_2! \cdots I_r!$$

$$|I| = I_1 + I_2 + \cdots + I_r$$

$$\partial^I = \underbrace{\partial_1 \odot \cdots \odot \partial_1}_{I_1 \text{ factors}} \odot \underbrace{\partial_2 \odot \cdots \odot \partial_2}_{I_2 \text{ factors}} \odot \cdots \odot \underbrace{\partial_r \odot \cdots \odot \partial_r}_{I_r \text{ factors}}$$

$$\chi^I = \underbrace{\chi_1 \odot \cdots \odot \chi_1}_{I_1 \text{ factors}} \odot \underbrace{\chi_2 \odot \cdots \odot \chi_2}_{I_2 \text{ factors}} \odot \cdots \odot \underbrace{\chi_r \odot \cdots \odot \chi_r}_{I_r \text{ factors}}$$

We use the symbol e_k to denote the multi-index all of whose components are equal to 0 except for the k-th which is equal to 1. Thus $\chi^{e_k} = \chi_k$.

Shuffles. A (p,q)-shuffle is a permutation σ of the set $\{1, 2, \cdots, p+q\}$ such that $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \cdots < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < \sigma(p+2) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)$. The symbol $\mathfrak{S}_p^q$ denotes the set of (p,q)-shuffles.

Graduation shift. Given a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V^{(k)}$, $V[i]$ denotes the graded vector space obtained by shifting the grading on V according to the rule $(V[i])^{(k)} = V^{(i+k)}$. Accordingly, if $E = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{(k)}$ is a graded vector bundle over M, $E[i]$ denotes the graded vector bundle obtained by shifting the degree in the fibers of E according to the above rule.

Koszul sign. The Koszul sign $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma; v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ of a permutation σ of homogeneous vectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ is determined by the equality

$$v_{\sigma(1)} \odot v_{\sigma(2)} \odot \cdots \odot v_{\sigma(n)} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma; v_1, \cdots, v_n) \cdot v_1 \odot v_2 \odot \cdots \odot v_n$$

in the graded commutative algebra $S(V)$.

L_∞ algebra. An $L_\infty[1]$-algebra [16, 29, 7] is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} V_n$ endowed with a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of linear maps $\lambda_k : S^k(V) \to V[1]$ satisfying the generalized Jacobi identities

$$\sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_p^q} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma; v_1, \cdots, v_n)\ \lambda_{1+q}\big(\lambda_p(v_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, v_{\sigma(p)}), v_{\sigma(p+1)}, \cdots, v_n\big) = 0$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all homogeneous vectors $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in V$.
A $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space V is an L_∞-algebra if and only if $V[1]$ is an $L_\infty[1]$-algebra.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Damien Broka, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Hsuan-Yi Liao, Kirill Mackenzie, Dominique Manchon, Rajan Mehta, Michael Pevzner, Boris Shoikhet, and Dmitry Tamarkin for fruitful discussions and useful comments. Stiénon is grateful to Université Paris 7 for its hospitality during his sabbatical leave in 2015–2016.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Lie algebroids and Lie pairs.

Lie algebroids. We use the symbol $\Bbbk$ to denote either of the fields $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$. A *Lie algebroid* over $\Bbbk$ is a $\Bbbk$-vector bundle $L \to M$ together with a bundle map $\rho : L \to TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Bbbk$ called *anchor* and a Lie bracket $[-,-]$ on sections of L such that $\rho : \Gamma(L) \to \mathfrak{X}(M) \otimes \Bbbk$ is a morphism of Lie algebras and

$$[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \big(\rho(X)f\big)Y$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M, \Bbbk)$. In this paper 'Lie algebroid' always means 'Lie algebroid over $\Bbbk$' unless specified otherwise.

A $\Bbbk$-vector bundle $L \to M$ is a Lie algebroid if and only if $\Gamma(L)$ is a *Lie–Rinehart algebra* [28] over the commutative ring $C^\infty(M, \Bbbk)$.

Lie pairs. By a *Lie pair* (L, A), we mean an inclusion $A \hookrightarrow L$ of Lie algebroids over a smooth manifold M.

Example 1.1. *If $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a Lie pair over the one-point manifold $\{*\}$.*

Example 1.2. *If X is a complex manifold, then $(T_X \otimes \mathbb{C}, T_X^{0,1})$ is a Lie pair over X.*

Example 1.3. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation of a smooth manifold M, then $(T_M, T_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a Lie pair over M.*

Matched pairs. A *matched pair of Lie algebroids* $L = A \bowtie B$ is a Lie pair (L, A) together with a splitting $j : B \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to B \to 0$, whose image $j(B)$ happens to be a Lie subalgebroid of L — see [26] for more details.

Example 1.4. *If X is a complex manifold, then $T_X \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_X^{0,1} \bowtie T_X^{1,0}$ is a matched pair of complex Lie algebroids over X.*

Example 1.5. *Let G be a Poisson Lie group and let P be a Poisson G-space, i.e. a Poisson manifold (P, π) endowed with a G-action $G \times P \to P$ which happens to be a Poisson map. According to Lu* [20]*, the cotangent Lie algebroid $A = \big(T_P^\vee\big)_\pi$ and the transformation Lie algebroid $B = P \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ form a matched pair of Lie algebroids over the manifold P.*

1.2. **Chevalley–Eilenberg differential.** Let L be a Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold M, and R be the algebra of smooth functions on M valued in $\Bbbk$. The Chevalley–Eilenberg differential

$$d_L : \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee)$$

defined by

$$\big(d_L\omega\big)(v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho(v_i)\big(\omega(v_0, \cdots, \widehat{v_i}, \cdots, v_k)\big) + \sum_{i<j} \omega([v_i, v_j], v_0, \cdots, \widehat{v_i}, \cdots, \widehat{v_j}, \cdots, v_k)$$

and the exterior product make $\bigoplus_{k\geqslant 0} \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee)$ into a differential graded commutative R-algebra.

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the definitions.

Proposition 1.6. *Let L be a Lie algebroid and let A and B be two vector subbundles of L such that $L = A \oplus B$. Set $\Omega^{u,v} = \Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \otimes q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee))$ where $p : L \twoheadrightarrow A$ and $q : L \twoheadrightarrow B$ denote the canonical projections.*

(1) *If neither A nor B is a Lie subalgebroid of L, then*
$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+2,v-1} \oplus \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1} \oplus \Omega^{u-1,v+2}.$$

(2) *If A is a Lie subalgebroid of L, i.e. if (L, A) is a Lie pair, then*
$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1} \oplus \Omega^{u-1,v+2}.$$

(3) *If both A and B are Lie subalgebroids of L, i.e. if $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair, then*
$$d_L(\Omega^{u,v}) \subset \Omega^{u+1,v} \oplus \Omega^{u,v+1}.$$

1.3. **Polydifferential operators for a Lie algebroid.** Let L be a Lie $\Bbbk$-algebroid over a smooth manifold M and let R denote the algebra of smooth functions on M taking values in $\Bbbk$. The vector space $\mathfrak{g} := R \oplus \Gamma(L)$ admits a natural Lie algebra structure given by the Lie bracket

$$(f + X) \otimes (g + Y) \longmapsto X(g) - Y(f) + [X, Y],$$

where $f, g \in R$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$. Its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \big(\bigotimes_{\Bbbk}^k (R \oplus \Gamma(L))\big)$ by the ideal generated by the subset of all elements of the form

$$(f + X) \otimes (g + Y) - (g + Y) \otimes (f + X) - \big(X(g) - Y(f) + [X, Y]\big)$$

with $f, g \in R$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$. Let i denote the natural inclusion of $\mathfrak{g}$ into $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $i(\mathfrak{g})$. The *universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ of the Lie algebroid L* is the quotient of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements of the form

$$i(f) \otimes i(g + Y) - i(fg + fY)$$

with $f, g \in R$ and $Y \in \Gamma(L)$. Note that we have implicitly used the left R-module structure of $\mathfrak{g}$. The graduation of $T(\mathfrak{g})$ induces a natural ascending filtration

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n-1}(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n}(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n+1}(L) \hookrightarrow \cdots \tag{1}$$

on $\mathcal{U}(L)$.

Lemma 1.7. *For all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ in $\Gamma(L)$, we have*

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \equiv X_{\sigma(1)} \cdots X_{\sigma(n)} \mod \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n-1}(L).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \equiv X_1 \cdots X_{k-1} X_{k+1} X_k X_{k+2} \cdots X_n \mod \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n-1}(L)$$

for all $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \Gamma(L)$. It follows from $X_k \cdot X_{k+1} = X_{k+1} \cdot X_k + [X_k, X_{k+1}]$ that

$$X_1 \cdots X_n = X_1 \cdots X_{k-1} X_{k+1} X_k X_{k+2} \cdots X_n + \underbrace{X_1 \cdots X_{k-1} [X_k, X_{k+1}] X_{k+2} \cdots X_n}_{\in \mathcal{U}^{\leqslant n-1}(L)}. \qquad \square$$

When the base M of the Lie algebroid L is the one-point space so that the only fiber is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebroid is the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. When the Lie algebroid L is the tangent bundle $T_M \to M$, its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ is the algebra of differential operators on M. In general, when L is a Lie algebroid over $\mathbb{R}$, its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ can canonically be identified with the associative algebra of source-fiberwise differential operators on $C^\infty(\mathscr{L})$ invariant under left translations [6], where $\mathscr{L}$ is a local Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid L. Hence we can think of the elements of $\mathcal{U}(L)$ as differential operators for the Lie algebroid L and of the elements of $\bigotimes_R^\bullet \mathcal{U}(L)$ as polydifferential operators for the Lie algebroid L.

The universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ of the Lie algebroid $L \to M$ is a coalgebra over R [33]. Its comultiplication

$$\Delta : \mathcal{U}(L) \to \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(L)$$

is compatible with its filtration (1) and characterized by the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1) &= 1 \otimes 1; \\ \Delta(b) &= 1 \otimes b + b \otimes 1, \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(L); \\ \Delta(u \cdot v) &= \Delta(u) \cdot \Delta(v), \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{U}(L), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \in R$ denotes the constant function on M with value 1 while the symbol $\cdot$ denotes the multiplication in $\mathcal{U}(L)$. We refer the reader to [33] for the precise meaning of the last equation above. Explicitly, we have

$$\Delta(b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot b_n) = 1 \otimes (b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot b_n) + \sum_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p,q \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p^q} (b_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \cdots \cdot b_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (b_{\sigma(p+1)} \cdot \cdots \cdot b_{\sigma(n)}) + (b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot b_n) \otimes 1,$$

for all $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(L)$.

1.4. **Connections and representations for Lie algebroids.** Let M be a smooth manifold, let $L \to M$ be a Lie $\Bbbk$-algebroid with anchor map $\rho : L \to T_M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Bbbk$, and let $E \xrightarrow{\varpi} M$ be a vector bundle over $\Bbbk$. The algebra of smooth functions on M with values in $\Bbbk$ will be denoted R.

The traditional description of a (linear) L-connection on E is in terms of a *covariant derivative*

$$\Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E) : (l, e) \mapsto \nabla_l e$$

characterized by the following two properties:

$$\nabla_{f \cdot l} e = f \cdot \nabla_l e, \tag{2}$$

$$\nabla_l (f \cdot e) = \rho(l) f \cdot e + f \cdot \nabla_l e, \tag{3}$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and $f \in R$.

Remark 1.8. *A covariant derivative* $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(B) \to \Gamma(B)$ *induces a covariant derivative* $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(S(B)) \to \Gamma(S(B))$ *through the relation*

$$\nabla_l (b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_1 \odot \cdots \odot \nabla_l b_k \odot \cdots \odot b_n,$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ *and* $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$.

Remark 1.9. *A covariant derivative* $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(S(B)) \to \Gamma(S(B))$ *induces a covariant derivative* $\nabla : \Gamma(L) \times \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)) \to \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$ *through the relation*

$$\rho(l)\,\langle \sigma | s \rangle = \langle \nabla_l \sigma | s \rangle + \langle \sigma | \nabla_l s \rangle$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $s \in \Gamma(B)$, *and* $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$.

A *representation of a Lie algebroid* A on a vector bundle $E \to M$ is a flat A-connection ∇ on E, i.e. a covariant derivative $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ satisfying

$$\nabla_{a_1} \nabla_{a_2} e - \nabla_{a_2} \nabla_{a_1} e = \nabla_{[a_1, a_2]} e, \tag{4}$$

for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. A vector bundle endowed with a representation of the Lie algebroid A is called an A*-module*. More generally, given a left R-module $\mathcal{M}$, by an *infinitesimal action* of A on $\mathcal{M}$, we mean a $\Bbbk$-bilinear map $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(a, e) \mapsto \nabla_a e$ satisfying Equations. (2), (3), and (4). In other words, ∇ is a representation of the Lie–Rinehart algebra $(\Gamma(A), R)$ [28].

Example 1.10. *Let* (L, A) *be a Lie pair, i.e. an inclusion* $A \hookrightarrow L$ *of Lie algebroids. The* Bott representation *of* A *on the quotient* L/A *is the flat connection defined by*

$$\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_a q(l) = q\big([a, l]\big), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A), l \in \Gamma(L),$$

where q *denotes the canonical projection* $L \twoheadrightarrow L/A$. *Thus the quotient* L/A *of a Lie pair* (L, A) *is an* A*-module.*

1.5. **Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms.** Let (L, A) be a pair of Lie algebroids over $\Bbbk$.

Writing $\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)$ for the left ideal of $\mathcal{U}(L)$ generated by $\Gamma(A)$, the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is automatically a filtered R-coalgebra since

$$\Delta\big(\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)\big) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(L) \otimes_R \big(\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)\big) + \big(\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)\big) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(L)$$

and the filtration (1) on $\mathcal{U}(L)$ descends to a filtration

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n-1} \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n} \hookrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n+1} \hookrightarrow \cdots$$

of $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$.

Likewise, deconcatenation defines an R-coalgebra structure on $\Gamma(S(L/A))$. The comultiplication

$$\Delta : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \to \Gamma(S(L/A)) \otimes_R \Gamma(S(L/A))$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = 1 \otimes (b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \\ + \sum_{\substack{p+q=n \\ p,q \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^q_p} (b_{\sigma(1)} \odot \cdots \odot b_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (b_{\sigma(p+1)} \odot \cdots \odot b_{\sigma(n)}) \\ + (b_1 \odot b_2 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \otimes 1,\end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \Gamma(L/A)$. The symbol $\odot$ denotes the symmetric product in $\Gamma(S(L/A))$.

The following theorem, which was obtained in [17], is an extension of the classical Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism to Lie pairs.

Theorem 1.11 ([17])**.** *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Given a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$, and a L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection, there exists a unique isomorphism of filtered R-coalgebras*

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j} : \Gamma(S(L/A)) \to \tfrac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$$

satisfying

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(f) = f, \quad \forall f \in R; \tag{5}$$

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b) = j(b), \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(L/A); \tag{6}$$

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b^{n+1}) = j(b) \cdot \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b^n) - \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}\left(\nabla_{j(b)}(b^n)\right) \tag{7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \Gamma(L/A)$.

Remark 1.12. *Equation* (7) *is equivalent to*

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = \tfrac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Big(j(b_i) \cdot \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_i} \odot \cdots \odot b_n) - \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}\left(\nabla_{j(b_i)}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_i} \odot \cdots \odot b_n)\right)\Big) \tag{8}$$

for all $b_0, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(L/A)$.

It is immediate that Equations (5), (6), and (8) together define inductively a unique R-linear map $\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}$.

Remark 1.13. *When $L = T_M$ and A is the trivial Lie subalgebroid of L of rank 0, the $\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}$ map of Theorem 1.11 is the inverse of the so called "complete symbol map," which is an isomorphism from the space $\mathcal{U}(T_M)$ of differential operators on M to the space $\Gamma(S(T_M))$ of fiberwisely polynomial functions on $T_M^\vee$. The complete symbol map was generalized to arbitrary Lie algebroids over $\mathbb{R}$ by Nistor–Weinstein–Xu* [27]*. It played an important role in quantization theory* [12, 27].

Lemma 1.14. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(L/A)$,*

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) - \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} j(b_{\sigma(1)}) \cdot j(b_{\sigma(2)}) \cdot \cdots \cdot j(b_{\sigma(n)})$$

is an element of $\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n-1}$.

Proof. It follows from the inductive relation (8) that

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} j(b_k) \cdot \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}\left(\nabla_{j(b_k)}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \cdots \odot b_n)\right)$$

belongs to $\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n-1}$ as

$$\nabla_{j(b_k)}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \in \Gamma(S^{n-1}(L/A))$$

and $\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}$ respects the filtrations. The result follows by induction on n. □

Corollary 1.15. *For all homogeneous elements $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ in $\Gamma(B)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \equiv j(b_1) \cdots j(b_n) \mod \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n-1}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.14 and Lemma 1.7 that

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \equiv \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} j(b_{\sigma(1)})\cdots j(b_{\sigma(n)}) \equiv j(b_1)\cdots j(b_n) \mod \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n-1}. \quad \square$$

1.6. **Torsion-free connections extending the Bott representation.** Let (L, A) be a pair of Lie algebroids over $\Bbbk$. Consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\ i\ } L \xrightarrow{\ q\ } L/A \longrightarrow 0 \ . \tag{9}$$

An L-connection ∇ on L/A is said to extend the Bott A-connection on L/A (see Example 1.10) if

$$\nabla_{i(a)}q(l) = \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_a q(l) = q\big([i(a), l]\big), \quad \forall a \in \Gamma(A), l \in \Gamma(L).$$

Given an L-connection on L/A extending the Bott A-connection on L/A, the bundle map $T^\nabla : \Lambda^2 L \to L/A$ defined by

$$T^\nabla(l_1, l_2) = \nabla_{l_1} q(l_2) - \nabla_{l_2} q(l_1) - q\big([l_1, l_2]\big), \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \Gamma(L) \tag{10}$$

satisfies $T^\nabla(a, l) = 0$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $l \in \Gamma(L)$, so that there exists a unique bundle map

$$\beta^\nabla : \Lambda^2(L/A) \to L/A, \tag{11}$$

called the *torsion* of ∇, making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^2 L & \xrightarrow{\ T^\nabla\ } & L/A \\ {\scriptstyle q}\big\downarrow & \nearrow_{\beta^\nabla} & \\ \Lambda^2(L/A) & & \end{array}$$

commute.

Torsion-free L-connections on L/A extending the Bott A-connection always exist:

Lemma 1.16. *Given an L-connection ∇ on L/A, setting*

$$\nabla'_{l_1} q(l_2) = \nabla_{l_1} q(l_2) - \tfrac{1}{2} T^\nabla(l_1, l_2), \quad \forall l_1, l_2 \in \Gamma(L)$$

defines a torsion-free L-connection ∇' on L/A, i.e. $\beta^{\nabla'} = 0$.
Moreover, if the L-connection ∇ on L/A extends the Bott A-connection, then so does the torsion-free L-connection ∇'.

Proposition 1.17. *Given a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence* (9) *and an L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection on L/A, we have*

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}(Y \odot Z) = j(Y)\cdot j(Z) - j\big(\nabla_{j(Y)} Z\big) + \tfrac{1}{2}\, j \circ \beta^\nabla(Y, Z)$$

for all elements Y, Z of $\Gamma(L/A)$.

2. Polydifferential operators and polyvector fields for Lie pairs

2.1. **Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology.** The Chevalley–Eilenberg covariant differential associated to a representation ∇ of a Lie algebroid $L \to M$ of rank n on a vector bundle $B \to M$ is the operator

$$d_L^\nabla : \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes B) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee \otimes B)$$

that takes a section $\omega \otimes e$ of $\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes B$ to

$$d_L^\nabla(\omega \otimes e) = (d_L \omega) \otimes e + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\nu_j \wedge \omega) \otimes \nabla_{v_j} e,$$

where $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ and $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots, \nu_n$ are any pair of dual local frames for the vector bundles L and $L^\vee$. Because the connection ∇ is flat, d_L^∇ is a coboundary operator: $d_L^\nabla \circ d_L^\nabla = 0$.

Let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid. The symbol $\mathfrak{A}$ denotes the abelian category of left modules over $\mathcal{U}(A)$. Its bounded below derived category is denoted by $D^+(\mathfrak{A})$. The Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology in degree k of a complex of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^2 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots$$

is

$$H^k_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{E}^\bullet) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(\mathfrak{A})}(R, \mathcal{E}^\bullet[k]),$$

where R is the algebra of functions on the base manifold M. It is computed as the total cohomology in degree k of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^1 & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^1 & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^1 \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^0 & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^0 & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^0 \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d}\uparrow \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{E}^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_A^\mathcal{E}} \cdots
\end{array}$$

2.2. **Polydifferential operators.** Given a Lie pair (L, A), let $\mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denote the algebra R of smooth functions on the manifold M, let $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denote the left $\mathcal{U}(A)$-module $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$, let $\mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denote the tensor product $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ of $(k+1)$ copies of the left R-module $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$, and set $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} = \bigoplus_{k=-1}^\infty \mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a left $\mathcal{U}(A)$-module and $\mathcal{U}(A)$, as a Hopf algebroid, is endowed with a comultiplication, $\mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is also naturally a left $\mathcal{U}(A)$-module for each $k \geq -1$ [33].

Lemma 2.1. *The $\mathcal{U}(A)$-module $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a cocommutative coassociative coalgebra over R whose comultiplication $\Delta : \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules.*

Since the comultiplication Δ is coassociative, the Hochschild operator $d_\mathscr{H} : \mathcal{D}^{k-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \mathcal{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ defined by

$$d_\mathscr{H}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k) = 1 \otimes u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k + (-1)^{k+1} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \otimes 1$$

is a coboundary operator, i.e. $d^2_\mathscr{H} = 0$.

Moreover, $d_{\mathscr{H}} : \mathcal{D}^{k-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \mathcal{D}^{k}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules, since the comultiplication $\Delta : \mathcal{D}^{0}_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \mathcal{D}^{0}_{\mathrm{poly}} \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^{0}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules. Therefore, the Hochschild complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^{0}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^{1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \mathcal{D}^{2}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \cdots$$

is a complex of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules.

The Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology in degree k of the Hochschild complex of the pair (L, A), which is defined as

$$H^k_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(\mathfrak{A})}(R, \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}[k]),$$

can be computed as the degree k hypercohomology of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -\mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes d_{\mathscr{H}}}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

The coboundary operator $d^{\mathcal{U}}_A : \Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee)\otimes \mathcal{D}^q_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} A^\vee)\otimes \mathcal{D}^q_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\mathcal{U}}_A(\omega \otimes u_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_q) &= (d_A\omega)\otimes u_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_q \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(A)} \sum_{k=0}^{q} (\alpha_j \wedge \omega) \otimes u_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k-1} \otimes a_j \cdot u_k \otimes u_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_q, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ is any local frame of A and $(\alpha_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ is the dual local frame of $A^\vee$.

However, unlike the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ of the Lie algebroid L, $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is in general not a Hopf algebroid over R (in fact, $\mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is not even an associative algebra). Therefore, a priori, the Hochschild cohomology is only a vector space. The following proposition is, however, quite obvious.

Proposition 2.2. *For any Lie pair (L, A), the Hochschild cohomology $H^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is an associative algebra whose multiplication stems from the tensor product of left R-modules $\otimes_R$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}$.*

2.3. **Polyvector fields.** Likewise, given a Lie pair (L, A), let $\mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denote the algebra R of smooth functions on the manifold M and set $\mathcal{X}^{k}_{\mathrm{poly}} := \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}(L/A))$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Consider $\mathcal{X}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}} = \bigoplus_{k=-1} \mathcal{X}^{k}_{\mathrm{poly}}$ as a complex of $\mathcal{U}(A)$-modules with trivial differential:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^{0}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^{1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{X}^{2}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{0} \cdots$$

Its Chevalley–Eilenberg cohomology in degree k

$$H^k_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D^+(\mathfrak{A})}(R, \mathcal{X}^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}[k]),$$

is computed as the degree k hypercohomology of the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\
 & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle 0}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

The coboundary operator $d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}} : \Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{X}^q_{\mathrm{poly}} \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} A^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{X}^q_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}(\omega \otimes b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q) &= (d_A \omega) \otimes b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(A)} \sum_{k=0}^{q} (\alpha_j \wedge \omega) \otimes b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{k-1} \wedge \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{a_j} b_k \wedge b_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_i)_{i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}}$ is any local frame of A and $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \{1,\ldots,r\}}$ is the dual local frame of $A^\vee$.

Again, a priori, $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is only a vector space. We have the following

Proposition 2.3. *For any Lie pair (L, A), the cohomology $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is an associative algebra whose multiplication stems from the wedge product in $\mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$.*

3. Fedosov dg manifolds and Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs

3.1. **Homological perturbation.** A cochain complex (N, δ) is said to *contract* onto a cochain complex (M, d) if there exists two chain maps $\sigma : N \to M$ and $\tau : M \to N$ and an endomorphism $h : N \to N[1]$ of the graded module N satisfying the following five relations:

$$\begin{gathered} \sigma\tau = \mathrm{id}_M, \qquad \tau\sigma - \mathrm{id}_N = h\delta + \delta h, \\ \sigma h = 0, \qquad h\tau = 0, \qquad h^2 = 0. \end{gathered}$$

If, furthermore, the cochain complexes N and M are filtered and the maps σ, τ, and h preserve the filtration, the contraction is said to be filtered [9, §12].

A *perturbation* of the differential δ of a filtered chain complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow N^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} N^n \xrightarrow{\delta} N^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an operator $\varrho : F^k N \to F^{k-1} N$ lowering the filtration and satisfying

$$(\delta + \varrho)^2 = 0$$

so that $\delta + \varrho$ is a new differential on N.

We refer the reader to [13, §1] for a brief history of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (Homological Perturbation [4]). *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & N^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N^{n} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d} & M^{n} & \xrightarrow{d} & M^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & N^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N^{n} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & N^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

be a filtered contraction. Given a perturbation ϱ of the differential δ on N, if the filtrations on M and N are complete, then the series

$$\vartheta := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma\varrho(h\varrho)^k\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k\varrho\tau$$

$$\breve{\sigma} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma(\varrho h)^k$$

$$\breve{\tau} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k\tau$$

$$\breve{h} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (h\varrho)^k h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(\varrho h)^k$$

converge, ϑ is a perturbation of the differential d on M, and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & N^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta+\varrho} & N^{n} & \xrightarrow{\delta+\varrho} & N^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\sigma}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\sigma}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\sigma}} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & M^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d+\vartheta} & M^{n} & \xrightarrow{d+\vartheta} & M^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\tau}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{h}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\tau}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{h}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\breve{\tau}} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & N^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\delta+\varrho} & N^{n} & \xrightarrow{\delta+\varrho} & N^{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

constitutes a new filtered contraction.

3.2. **The coboundary operator δ.** Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. We use the symbol B to denote the quotient vector bundle L/A and the symbol r to denote its rank.

Consider the endomorphism δ of the vector bundle $\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee$ defined by

$$\delta(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \sum_{m=1}^{r} \big(q^\top(\chi_m) \wedge \omega\big) \otimes J_m\, \chi^{J-e_m},$$

for all $\omega \in \Lambda L^\vee$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Here $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^r$ denotes an arbitrary local frame for the vector bundle $B^\vee$.

The operator δ is a derivation of degree $+1$ of the graded commutative algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee)$ and satisfies $\delta^2 = 0$. The resulting cochain complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee \xrightarrow{\delta} \Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee \longrightarrow \cdots$$

deformation retracts onto the trivial complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}A^\vee \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda^n A^\vee \xrightarrow{0} \Lambda^{n+1}A^\vee \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Indeed, for every choice of splitting $i \circ p + j \circ q = \mathrm{id}_L$ of the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \underset{p}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} L \underset{j}{\overset{q}{\rightleftarrows}} B \longrightarrow 0$$

and its dual

$$0 \longrightarrow B^\vee \underset{j^\top}{\overset{q^\top}{\rightleftarrows}} L^\vee \underset{p^\top}{\overset{i^\top}{\rightleftarrows}} A^\vee \longrightarrow 0 \quad ,$$

the chain maps

$$\sigma : \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee \to \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee$$

and

$$\tau : \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \to \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee$$

respectively defined by

$$\sigma(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \begin{cases} \omega \otimes \chi^J & \text{if } v = 0 \text{ and } |J| = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $\omega \in p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \otimes q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee)$ and all multi-indices $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, and

$$\tau(\alpha) = p^\top(\alpha) \otimes 1,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda^\bullet(A^\vee)$, satisfy

$$\sigma\tau = \mathrm{id} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{id} - \tau\sigma = h\delta + \delta h$$

where the homotopy operator

$$h : \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee \to \Lambda^{\bullet-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee$$

is defined by

$$h(\omega \otimes \chi^J) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v+|J|} \sum_{k=1}^r (\iota_{j(\partial_k)}\omega) \otimes \chi^{J+e_k} & \text{if } v \geqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } v = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $\omega \in p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \otimes q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee)$. Here $\{\partial_k\}_{k=1}^r$ denotes the local frame for B dual to $\{\chi_k\}_{k=1}^r$.

Remark 3.2. *The operator h is* not *a derivation of the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee)$.*

We note that $h\tau = 0$, $\sigma h = 0$, and $h^2 = 0$.

Furthermore, the maps δ, σ, τ, and h respect the exhaustive, complete, descending filtrations

$$\mathcal{F}^0 \supset \mathcal{F}^1 \supset \mathcal{F}^2 \supset \mathcal{F}^3 \supset \cdots$$

and

$$\mathscr{F}^0 \supset \mathscr{F}^1 \supset \mathscr{F}^2 \supset \mathscr{F}^3 \supset \cdots$$

on the complexes $\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee$ and $\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^m = \bigoplus_{k \geqslant m} \Lambda^k A^\vee$$

and

$$\mathscr{F}^m = \prod_{k+p \geqslant m} \big(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes S^p B^\vee\big).$$

Hence, we have proved the following

Proposition 3.3. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \Lambda^{n}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\sigma} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{n-1}A^\vee & \xrightarrow{0} & \Lambda^{n}A^\vee & \xrightarrow{0} & \Lambda^{n+1}A^\vee & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \Lambda^{n}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \xrightarrow{-\delta} & \Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

is a filtered contraction.[1]

3.3. **Fedosov dg manifold associated with a Lie pair.**

Lemma 3.4. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, ∇ an L-connection on B extending the Bott A-connection, and β^∇ its torsion as in Equation* (11)*. Then $\beta^\nabla = 0$ if and only if $\delta d_L^\nabla + d_L^\nabla\delta = 0$.*

Consider the four maps $\delta_\natural$, $\sigma_\natural$, $h_\natural$, and $\tau_\natural$

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee\otimes B)\underset{\tau_\natural}{\overset{\sigma_\natural}{\leftrightarrows}}\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee\otimes B)\underset{h_\natural}{\overset{\delta_\natural}{\rightleftarrows}}\Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee\otimes B)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\natural(\omega\otimes\sigma\otimes b) &= \delta(\omega\otimes\sigma)\otimes b & \qquad \sigma_\natural(\omega\otimes\sigma\otimes b) &= \sigma(\omega\otimes\sigma)\otimes b\\
h_\natural(\omega\otimes\sigma\otimes b) &= h(\omega\otimes\sigma)\otimes b & \qquad \tau_\natural(\alpha\otimes b) &= \tau(\alpha)\otimes b,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$, $\omega\in\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee)$, $\sigma\in\Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee)$, and $b\in\Gamma(B)$. The maps δ, σ, h, and τ are defined in Section 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Given a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ and a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection, there exists a* unique *1-form valued in formal vertical vector fields of L/A:*

$$X^\nabla\in\Gamma(L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}^{\geqslant 2}B^\vee\otimes B)$$

satisfying $h_\natural(X^\nabla) = 0$ and such that the derivation $Q : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)$ defined by

$$Q = -\delta + d_L^\nabla + X^\nabla \tag{12}$$

satisfies $Q^2 = 0$. Here X^∇ acts on the algebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)$ as a derivation in a natural fashion. As a consequence, $(L[1]\oplus B, Q)$ is a dg manifold.

Proof. Suppose there exists such a X^∇ and consider its decomposition $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$, where $X_k\in\Gamma(L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}^kB^\vee\otimes B)$. Then $Q = -\delta + d_L^\nabla + X_2 + X_{\geqslant 3}$ with $X_{\geqslant 3} = \sum_{k=3}^\infty X_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^2 &= \delta^2 - \big(\delta d_L^\nabla + d_L^\nabla\delta\big) + \big\{d_L^\nabla d_L^\nabla - \delta X_2 - X_2\delta\big\}\\
&\quad + \big\{d_L^\nabla X^\nabla + X^\nabla d_L^\nabla + {X^\nabla}^2 - \delta X_{\geqslant 3} - X_{\geqslant 3}\delta\big\}\\
&= \delta^2 - [\delta, d_L^\nabla] + \big\{R^\nabla - [\delta, X_2]\big\} + \big\{[d_L^\nabla + \tfrac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla] - [\delta, X_{\geqslant 3}]\big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us write $\Lambda^p\otimes S^q$ for $\Gamma(\Lambda^pL^\vee\otimes S^qB^\vee)$.

[1] See Section 3.1.

Since



we have



Since $\delta^2 = 0$ and $[\delta, d_L^\nabla] = 0$ (by Lemma 3.4), we obtain the commutative diagram



The requirement $Q^2 = 0$ is thus equivalent to the pair of equations

$$[\delta, X_2] = R^\nabla$$
$$[\delta, X_{\geqslant 3}] = [d_L^\nabla + \tfrac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla]$$

Note that $\sigma_\natural(X_2) = 0$ and $\sigma_\natural(X_{\geqslant 3}) = 0$ since $X_2, X_{\geqslant 3} \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 2} B^\vee \otimes B)$ and also that $h_\natural(X_2) = 0$ and $h_\natural(X_{\geqslant 3}) = 0$ as $h_\natural(X^\nabla) = 0$. Since $\delta_\natural h_\natural + h_\natural \delta_\natural = \mathrm{id} - \tau_\natural \sigma_\natural$, we obtain $h_\natural \delta_\natural(X_2) = X_2$ and $h_\natural \delta_\natural(X_{\geqslant 3}) = X_{\geqslant 3}$.

It follows that

$$X_2 = h_\natural \delta_\natural(X_2) = h_\natural([\delta, X_2]) = h_\natural(R^\nabla)$$
$$X_{\geqslant 3} = h_\natural \delta_\natural(X_{\geqslant 3}) = h_\natural([\delta, X_{\geqslant 3}]) = h_\natural[d_L^\nabla + \tfrac{1}{2}X^\nabla, X^\nabla].$$

Projecting the second equation onto $\Gamma(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{k+1} B^\vee \otimes B)$, we obtain

$$X_2 = h_\natural(R^\nabla) \tag{13}$$
$$X_{k+1} = h_\natural\Big(d_L^\nabla \circ X_k + X_k \circ d_L^\nabla + \sum_{\substack{p+q=k+1 \\ 2 \leqslant p,q \leqslant k-1}} X_p \circ X_q\Big), \qquad \text{for } k \geqslant 2. \tag{14}$$

The successive terms of $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$ can thus be computed sequentially starting from $X_2 = h_\natural(R^\nabla)$. Therefore, if it exists, the derivation X^∇ is uniquely determined by the torsion-free connection ∇ and the splitting $j : B \to L$.

Now, defining X_k inductively by the relations (13) and (14) and setting $X^\nabla = \sum_{k=2}^\infty X_k$, we have $h_\natural(X^\nabla) = h_\natural(X_2 + X_{\geqslant 3}) = h_\natural^2\big(R^\nabla + \delta_\natural(X_{\geqslant 3})\big) = 0$ since $h_\natural^2 = 0$. Moreover, we have $X_2 = h_\natural(R^\nabla) \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^2(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ as $R^\nabla \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 L^\vee \otimes B^\vee \otimes B)$. Making use of Equation (14), one proves by induction on k that $X_k \in \Gamma(L^\vee \otimes S^k(B^\vee) \otimes B)$. This completes the proof of the existence of X^∇. □

Remark 3.6. *When $L = TM$ and $A = 0$, where M is a smooth manifold, Theorem 3.5 reduces to a classical theorem of Emmrich–Weinstein* [10] *(see also* [8]*). On the other hand, when $L = TX \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $A = T^{0,1}X$, where X is a complex manifold, Theorem 3.5 reduces to Theorem 5.9 in* [5].

3.4. **Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for Lie pairs.** Alternatively, one can make use of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism $\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}$ in order to endow the graded manifold on $L[1] \oplus B$ with a homological vector field as in [17].

Recall that every choice of a splitting $j : B = L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence of vector bundles (9) and an L-connection ∇ on B extending the Bott A-connection determines a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt map

$$\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j} : \Gamma(SB) \to \tfrac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)},$$

which is an isomorphism of filtered R-coalgebras according to Theorem 1.11. In what follows, we will use the simplified symbol pbw to denote the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphism $\mathrm{pbw}^{\nabla,j}$.

Being a quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(L)$ by a left ideal, the R-coalgebra $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is naturally a left $\mathcal{U}(L)$-module. Hence $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ is endowed with a canonical infinitesimal L-action by coderivations. Pulling back this infinitesimal action through pbw, we obtain a *flat* L-connection $\nabla^\natural$ on SB:

$$\nabla^\natural_l(s) = \mathrm{pbw}^{-1}\big(l \cdot \mathrm{pbw}(s)\big), \tag{15}$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $s \in \Gamma(SB)$.

The L-connection $\nabla^\natural$ on $S(B)$ induces an L-connections on the dual bundle $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$ — see Remark 1.9. By

$$d_L^{\nabla^\natural} : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee),$$

we denote its corresponding Chevalley–Eilenberg differential. It is known [17] that the covariant derivative $\nabla^\natural_l : \Gamma(SB) \to \Gamma(SB)$ is a *co*derivation of $\Gamma(SB)$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ (However, $\nabla^\natural_l$ need not be a derivation of $\Gamma(SB)$ for any $l \in \Gamma(L)$). Therefore, the covariant derivative

$$\nabla^\natural_l : \Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee) \to \Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee) \tag{16}$$

is a derivation of the symmetric algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee)$ and $d_L^{\nabla^\natural}$ is a degree $+1$-derivation on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee)$, i.e. a homological vector field on $L[1] \oplus B$. As a consequence, $(L[1] \oplus B, d_L^{\nabla^\natural})$ is indeed a dg-manifold.

The following theorem extends a classical theorem of Emmrich–Weinstein [10].

Theorem 3.7. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, $i \circ p + j \circ q = \mathrm{id}_L$ a splitting of the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \underset{p}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}} L \underset{j}{\overset{q}{\rightleftarrows}} B \longrightarrow 0$$

and ∇ an L-connection on B extending the Bott A-connection. If ∇ is torsion-free, then the dg-manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, d_L^{\nabla^\natural})$ described above coincides with the Fedosov dg-manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ constructed by the Fedosov iteration as in Theorem 3.5.

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.7.** The covariant derivative $\nabla^\natural_l$ does not preserve the filtration $\Gamma(S^{\leqslant p}(L/A))$. Nevertheless, we have

Proposition 3.8. *For all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla^\natural_l$ maps $\Gamma(S^{\leqslant p}B)$ to $\Gamma(S^{\leqslant p+1}B)$. Moreover, for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla^\natural_a$ maps $\Gamma(S^{\leqslant p}B)$ to $\Gamma(S^{\leqslant p}B)$.*

At this stage, it is useful to introduce some new notations. Let Θ be the bundle map

$$\Theta : L \otimes SB \to SB$$

defined by

$$\Theta(l;s) = \nabla^\natural_l s - \nabla_l s - q(l) \odot s, \quad \forall l \in \Gamma(L), s \in \Gamma(SB). \tag{17}$$

It follows immediately from Equations (17) and (15) that

$$\Theta(l;1) = 0, \quad \forall l \in \Gamma(L) \tag{18}$$

since $\mathrm{pbw}(1) = 1$ and $\mathrm{pbw}(b) = j(b)$ for all $b \in \Gamma(L/A)$.

Since pbw is invertible, Proposition 1.17 may be rewritten as

$$\nabla^\natural_l b = q(l) \odot b + \nabla_l b - \tfrac{1}{2}\beta^\nabla(q(l), b), \quad \forall l \in \Gamma(L), b \in \Gamma(B).$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta(l;b) = -\tfrac{1}{2}\beta^\nabla(q(l), b), \quad \forall l \in \Gamma(L), b \in \Gamma(B). \tag{19}$$

Lemma 3.9. *For all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the map $s \mapsto \Theta(l;s)$ is a coderivation of the R-coalgebra $\Gamma(SB)$ and preserves the filtration*

$$\cdots \hookrightarrow S^{\leqslant n-1}B \hookrightarrow S^{\leqslant n}B \hookrightarrow S^{\leqslant n+1}B \hookrightarrow \cdots .$$

Proof. The verification that $\nabla^\natural_l$, ∇_l and the map $s \mapsto q(l) \odot s$ are coderivations of $\Gamma(SB)$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ is straightforward. Hence $s \mapsto \Theta(l,s)$ is a coderivation of the R-coalgebra $\Gamma(SB)$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ as well. It follows from Equations (17) and (15) together with Corollary 1.15, the fact that pbw respects the filtrations on $\Gamma\big(S(B)\big)$ and $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$, and Lemma 1.7 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{pbw}\left(\Theta(l; b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n)\right) \\
&= l \cdot \mathrm{pbw}(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) - \mathrm{pbw}\left(q(l) \odot b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n - \nabla_l(b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n)\right) \\
&= l \cdot j(b_1) \cdots j(b_n) - j \circ q(l) \cdot j(b_1) \cdots j(b_n) + u \\
&= p(l) \cdot j(b_1) \cdots j(b_n) + u \\
&= j(b_1) \cdots j(b_n) \cdot p(l) + v
\end{aligned}$$

where u, v are elements of $\left(\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n}$. Since $p(l)$ belongs to $\Gamma(A)$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{pbw}\left(\Theta(l; b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n)\right) = v \in \left(\tfrac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}\right)^{\leqslant n}.$$

Therefore, we have $\Theta(l; b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) \in \Gamma\big(S^{\leqslant n}(B)\big)$ for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$ and $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$. □

Proposition 3.10. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$, we have*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \Theta\big(j(b_k); b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \cdot \odot b_n\big) = 0.$$

Proof. Set $b^{\{k\}} = b_0 \odot \cdots \odot \widehat{b_k} \odot \cdot \odot b_n$ and rewrite Equation (8) as

$$(n+1)\,\mathrm{pbw}(b_0 \odot \cdots \odot b_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big\{ j(b_k) \cdot \mathrm{pbw}(b^{\{k\}}) - \mathrm{pbw}\left(\nabla_{j(b_k)}(b^{\{k\}})\right) \Big\}.$$

Applying pbw^{-1} to both sides, we obtain

$$(n+1)\; b_0 \odot \cdots \odot b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big\{ \nabla^{\natural}_{j(b_k)}(b^{\{k\}}) - \nabla_{j(b_k)}(b^{\{k\}}) \Big\},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big\{ \nabla^{\natural}_{j(b_k)}(b^{\{k\}}) - q\big(j(b_k)\big) \odot b^{\{k\}} - \nabla_{j(b_k)}(b^{\{k\}}) \Big\} = 0.$$

The result follows from Equation (17). □

Now consider the map

$$\Xi^{\nabla} : \Gamma(S^{\bullet} B^{\vee}) \to \Gamma(L^{\vee} \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant \bullet+1} B^{\vee})$$

defined by

$$\big\langle s \big| \iota_l \Xi^{\nabla}(\sigma) \big\rangle = \big\langle \iota_l \Theta^{\nabla}(l; s) \big| \sigma \big\rangle\,, \tag{20}$$

for all homogeneous $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $s \in \Gamma(SB)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma(\hat{S} B^{\vee})$.

Here

$$\Gamma(SB) \otimes_R \Gamma(\hat{S} B^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{\langle - | - \rangle} R$$

is the duality pairing defined by

$$\langle b_1 \odot \cdots \odot b_p | \beta_1 \odot \cdots \odot \beta_q \rangle = \begin{cases} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \iota_{b_1} \beta_{\sigma(1)} \cdot \iota_{b_2} \beta_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \iota_{b_p} \beta_{\sigma(p)} & \text{if } p = q \\ 0 & \text{if } p \neq q \end{cases}$$

for all $b_1, \ldots, b_p \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q \in \Gamma(B^{\vee})$.

A straightforward computation yields the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(\partial_i)_{i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}}$ be a local frame of B and let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1,\ldots,r\}}$ be the dual local frame of $B^{\vee}$. We have*

$$\big\langle \partial^I \big| \chi^J \big\rangle = I!\; \delta_{I,J}, \quad \forall I, J \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

and

$$\sigma = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{1}{I!} \big\langle \partial^I \big| \sigma \big\rangle\; \chi^I, \quad \forall \sigma \in \Gamma\big(\hat{S}(B^{\vee})\big).$$

Lemma 3.12. *For any $l \in \Gamma(L)$, and all homogeneous $s \in \Gamma(SB)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma\big(\hat{S} B^{\vee}\big)$, we have*

$$\langle s | \iota_l \delta(\sigma) \rangle = \langle q(l) \odot s | \sigma \rangle\,.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the relation for $s = \partial^I$ and $\sigma = \chi^J$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle \partial^I \big| \iota_l \delta(\chi^J) \big\rangle &= \left\langle \partial^I \middle| \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; J_k \chi^{J - e_k} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; \big\langle \partial^I \big| J_k \chi^{J-e_k} \big\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; J_k\; I!\; \delta_{I, J-e_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; J!\; \delta_{I+e_k, J} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; \big\langle \partial^{I+e_k} \big| \chi^J \big\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{q(l)\chi_k}\; \partial_k \odot \partial^I \middle| \chi^J \right\rangle = \big\langle q(l) \odot \partial^I \big| \chi^J \big\rangle\,. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for all $\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$,

$$\delta(\omega \otimes \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)} (\lambda_k \wedge \omega) \otimes \langle q(l_k) \odot - | \xi \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (q^\top(\chi_k) \wedge \omega) \otimes \langle \partial_k \odot - | \xi \rangle \,,$$

where $(l_k)_{k=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)}$ and $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)}$ is any pair of dual local frames for the vector bundles L and $L^\vee$ and $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ and $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ is any pair of dual local frames for the vector bundles B and $B^\vee$.

Proposition 3.13. *For every $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the operator $\iota_l \Xi^\nabla$ is a derivation of the algebra $\Gamma\big(\hat{S}B^\vee\big)$.*

Proof. The result follows immediately from Proposition 3.9 since the algebra $\Gamma\big(\hat{S}(B^\vee)\big)$ is dual to the coalgebra $\Gamma(S(B))$ and $\iota_l \Xi^\nabla$ is the transpose of $\iota_l \Theta^\nabla$ according to Equation (20). □

Hence Ξ^∇ may be regarded as an element of $\Gamma\big(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B\big)$.

Proposition 3.14. (1) *If $\beta^\nabla = 0$, then $\Xi^\nabla \in \Gamma\big(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 2} B^\vee \otimes B\big)$.*
(2) *If $\beta^\nabla \neq 0$, then $\Xi^\nabla \in \Gamma\big(L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 1} B^\vee \otimes B\big)$.*

Proof. Let $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ be a local frame of B and let $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ be the dual local frame of $B^\vee$. Fix any $l \in \Gamma(L)$. Since $\iota_l \Xi^\nabla$ is a derivation of the algebra $\Gamma\big(\hat{S}(B^\vee)\big)$, which is generated locally by $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$, we have

$$\iota_l \Xi^\nabla = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_l \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \partial_k,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_l \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) &= \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{I!} \left\langle \partial^I \middle| \iota_l \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi^I && \text{by Lemma 3.11,} \\ &= \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{I!} \left\langle \iota_l \Theta^\nabla(\partial^I) \middle| \chi_k \right\rangle \chi^I && \text{by Equation (20).} \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta^\nabla = 0$, it follows from Equations (18) and (19) that $\Theta^\nabla(\partial^I) = 0$ for $|I| \leqslant 1$ so that $\iota_l \Xi^\nabla \in \Gamma\big(\hat{S}^{\geqslant 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B\big)$. If $\beta^\nabla \neq 0$, it follows from Equations (18) that $\Theta^\nabla(\partial^I) = 0$ for $|I| = 0$ so that $\iota_l \Xi^\nabla \in \Gamma\big(\hat{S}^{\geqslant 1}(B^\vee) \otimes B\big)$. □

We note that, for every pair of dual local frames $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ and $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ for B and $B^\vee$, we have

$$\Xi^\nabla = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \partial^J \middle| \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi^J \partial_k$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi^\nabla(\omega \otimes \chi^J) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)} (\lambda_m \wedge \omega) \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \partial^J \middle| \iota_{l_m} \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi^J \partial_k \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)} (\lambda_m \wedge \omega) \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \Theta^\nabla(l_m; \partial^J) \middle| \chi_k \right\rangle \chi^J \partial_k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.15. *For all $\lambda \in \Gamma(L^\vee)$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have*

$$h(\lambda \otimes \chi^J) = \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \iota_{j(\partial_k)} \lambda \otimes \chi^{J+e_k},$$

where $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ is any local frame of B and $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ is the dual local frame of $B^\vee$.

Proof. For $\lambda \in \Gamma(q^\top B^\vee)$, the result follows immediately from the very definition of h. The results holds for $\lambda \in \Gamma(p^\top A^\vee)$ as well since, for all $\alpha \in \Gamma(A^\vee)$, we have $h(p^\top(\alpha) \otimes \chi^J) = 0$ by the very definition of h and $\iota_{j(\partial_k)} p^\top(\alpha) = 0$ as $p \circ j = 0$. □

Proposition 3.16. $h_\natural(\Xi^\nabla) = 0$

Proof. Let $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ be a local frame of B and let $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ be the dual local frame of $B^\vee$.

From

$$\Xi^\nabla = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \partial^J \middle| \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi^J \partial_k,$$

we obtain, using Lemma 3.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
h_\natural(\Xi^\nabla) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r} h\left\{ \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \partial^J \middle| \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi^J \right\} \partial_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_{p=1}^{r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \partial^J \middle| \iota_{j(\partial_p)} \Xi^\nabla(\chi_k) \right\rangle \chi_p \chi^J \partial_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{1+|J|} \sum_{p=1}^{r} \frac{1}{J!} \left\langle \iota_{j(\partial_p)} \Theta^\nabla(\partial^J) \middle| \chi_k \right\rangle \chi^{J+e_p} \partial_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r} \frac{1}{|M|} \frac{1}{M!} \left\langle \sum_{p=1}^{r} M_p \, \iota_{j(\partial_p)} \Theta^\nabla(\partial^{M-e_p}) \middle| \chi_k \right\rangle \chi^M \partial_k.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows directly from Proposition 3.10 that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{r} M_p \, \iota_{j(\partial_p)} \Theta^\nabla(\partial^{M-e_p}) = 0$$

for every $M = (M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$. □

The L-connections ∇ and $\nabla^\natural$ defined on SB induce L-connections on the dual bundle $\hat{S}B^\vee$ — see Remark 1.9. For all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the covariant derivative $\nabla_l^\natural$ is a derivation of the symmetric algebra $\Gamma(\hat{S}B^\vee)$ which maps $\Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geqslant p}B^\vee)$ to $\Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geqslant p-1}B^\vee)$.

Proposition 3.17. $d_L^{\nabla^\natural} = -\delta + d_L^\nabla - \Xi^\nabla$

Proof. From

$$\left\langle \nabla_l^\natural s \middle| \sigma \right\rangle + \left\langle s \middle| \nabla_l^\natural \sigma \right\rangle = \rho(l) \langle s|\sigma\rangle = \langle \nabla_l s|\sigma\rangle + \langle s|\nabla_l\sigma\rangle,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \nabla_l^\natural s - \nabla_l s \middle| \sigma \right\rangle &= \left\langle s \middle| \nabla_l\sigma - \nabla_l^\natural \sigma \right\rangle \\
\left\langle q(l) \odot s + \iota_l \Theta^\nabla(s) \middle| \sigma \right\rangle &= \left\langle s \middle| \iota_l \big(d_L^\nabla \sigma - d_L^{\nabla^\natural} \sigma \big) \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

and, making use of Lemma 3.12 and Equation (20),

$$\big\langle s\big|\iota_l\big(\delta\sigma+\Xi^\nabla\sigma\big)\big\rangle=\Big\langle s\Big|\iota_l\big(d_L^\nabla\sigma-d_L^{\nabla^\natural}\sigma\big)\Big\rangle$$

or, equivalently,

$$d_L^{\nabla^\natural}=-\delta+d_L^\nabla-\Xi^\nabla. \qquad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Since $\Xi^\nabla\in\Gamma\big(L^\vee\otimes\hat S^{\geqslant 2}(B^\vee)\otimes B\big)$ provided $\beta^\nabla=0$ (Proposition 3.14), $h_\natural(\Xi^\nabla)=0$ (Proposition 3.16), and $d_L^{\nabla^\natural}=-\delta+d_L^\nabla-\Xi^\nabla$ (Proposition 3.17) satisfies $d_L^{\nabla^\natural}\circ d_L^{\nabla^\natural}=0$, Theorem 3.5 asserts that $X^\nabla=-\Xi^\nabla$ and $Q=d_L^{\nabla^\natural}$. $\square$

4. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms

4.1. **Contraction of the Fedosov dg manifold.**

Proposition 4.1. *The operator ϱ defined as the difference*

$$\varrho=d_L^\nabla-\Xi^\nabla$$

is a perturbation[2] *of the cochain complex*

$$\cdots\longrightarrow\Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee\otimes\hat SB^\vee\xrightarrow{-\delta}\Lambda^nL^\vee\otimes\hat SB^\vee\xrightarrow{-\delta}\Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat SB^\vee\longrightarrow\cdots$$

compatible with its descending filtration

$$\mathscr{F}^m=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)}\Gamma\big(\Lambda^kL^\vee\otimes\hat S^{\geqslant m-k}B^\vee\big).$$

Proof. We have $d_L^{\nabla^\natural}=-\delta+(d_L^\nabla-\Xi^\nabla)$ and $(d_L^{\nabla^\natural})^2=0$ since the connection $\nabla^\natural$ is flat. Moreover, if $\beta^\nabla\neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{-\delta}{\curvearrowright} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes S^{p-1}(B^\vee)) \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^n(L^\vee)\otimes S^p(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{d_L^\nabla} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes S^p(B^\vee)) \\ & \underset{-\Xi^\nabla}{\curvearrowright} & \big\downarrow \\ & & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes\hat S^{\geqslant p}(B^\vee)) \end{array},$$

and if $\beta^\nabla=0$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{-\delta}{\curvearrowright} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes S^{p-1}(B^\vee)) \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^n(L^\vee)\otimes S^p(B^\vee)) & \xrightarrow{d_L^\nabla} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes S^p(B^\vee)) \\ & \underset{-\Xi^\nabla}{\curvearrowright} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)\otimes\hat S^{\geqslant p+1}(B^\vee)) \end{array}$$

As a consequence, $d_L^\nabla-\Xi^\nabla$ maps $\mathscr{F}^m$ to $\mathscr{F}^{m+1}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

[2] See Section 3.1.

Proposition 4.2. *The Chevalley–Eilenberg complex* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee), d_L^{\nabla^t}\big)$ *contracts onto* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee), d_A\big)$. *More precisely, we have the contraction*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_L^{\nabla^t}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_L^{\nabla^t}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}A^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n A^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_A} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}A^\vee) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_L^{\nabla^t}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \xrightarrow{d_L^{\nabla^t}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where $\breve{\tau} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h\varrho)^k \tau$ *and* $\breve{h} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h\varrho)^k h$.

Proof. We proceed by homological perturbation (see Lemma 3.1). Starting from the filtered contraction of Proposition 3.3, it suffices to perturb the coboundary operator $-\delta$ by the operator ϱ (see Proposition 4.1). We have $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \sigma(\varrho h)^k = 0$ as, for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes S^p B^\vee) \xrightarrow{h} \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1} L^\vee \otimes S^{p+1} B^\vee) \xrightarrow{\varrho} \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant p+1} B^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sigma} 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\breve{\sigma} := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma(\varrho h)^k = \sigma$$

and

$$\vartheta := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma(\varrho h)^k \varrho\tau = \sigma\varrho\tau = \sigma(d_L^\nabla - \Xi^\nabla)(p^\top \otimes 1) = \sigma\big((d_L \circ p^\top) \otimes 1\big) = d_A.$$

The result follows immediately since $-\delta + \varrho = d_L^{\nabla^t}$ (Proposition 3.17). □

Corollary 4.3. *The chain maps*

$$\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee), d_L^{\nabla^t}\big) \underset{\tau}{\overset{\sigma}{\rightleftarrows}} \big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee), d_A\big)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms and homotopy inverses of each other.

Thus, we obtain the following

Theorem 4.4. *Let* (L, A) *be a Lie pair. Given a splitting* $j : L/A \to L$ *of the short exact sequence* $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ *and a torsion-free* L*-connection* ∇ *on* L/A *extending the Bott* A*-connection, the natural inclusion*

$$(A[1], d_A) \to (L[1] \oplus B, Q)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of dg manifolds.

Remark 4.5. *We note that the homotopy operator* $\breve{h}$ *satisfies* $(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)\breve{h} = h$ *and the chain map* $\breve{\tau}$ *satisfies* $(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)\breve{\tau} = \tau$.

Lemma 4.6. *For every* $y \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes S^q(B^\vee))$, *there exists a unique* $x \in \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ *such that* $(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)x = y$.

Proof. First note that $y \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes S^q(B^\vee))$ implies that $(h\varrho)^k y \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant q+k}(B^\vee))$ as

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant q+1}(B^\vee)) \xleftarrow{h} \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant q}(B^\vee)) \xleftarrow{\varrho} \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes S^q(B^\vee)).$$

Therefore the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(h\varrho)^k y$ converges to an element x in $\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$. Moreover, we have

$$(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)x = (\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(h\varrho)^k y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(h\varrho)^k y - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(h\varrho)^k y = y.$$

Suppose there exists another element x' in $\Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ satisfying $(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)x' = y$. It follows that $(\mathrm{id} - h\varrho)(x' - x) = 0$ and, consequently,

$$x' - x = h\varrho(x' - x) = (h\varrho)^2(x' - x) = (h\varrho)^3(x' - x) = \cdots$$

Since $x' - x \in \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 0}(B^\vee))$, we have

$$x' - x = (h\varrho)^k(x' - x) \in \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant k}(B^\vee)), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$x' - x \in \bigcap_k \Gamma(\Lambda L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant k}(B^\vee)) = \{0\}$$

so that $x = x'$. □

4.2. **First glimpse at matched pairs.** In this section, we establish explicit expressions for the quasi-isomorphism

$$\check{\tau} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

defined in Proposition 4.2 valid only in the special case of matched pairs.

Suppose the image of the chosen splitting $j : B \to L$ is a Lie subalgebroid of L (i.e. $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair). Then B is a Lie algebroid and composition of the morphism of associative algebras $\mathcal{U}(B) \to \mathcal{U}(L)$ induced by j with the canonical projection $\mathcal{U}(L) \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$ yields a canonical isomorphism of left R-coalgebras $\mathcal{U}(B) \cong \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$.

Since $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair, we have a Bott B-connection on A:

$$\nabla_b^{\mathrm{Bott}} a = p\big([j(b), i(a)]\big), \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(B), a \in \Gamma(A).$$

The dual B-connection on $A^\vee$ extends to the exterior algebra $\Lambda A^\vee$ by derivation:

$$\nabla_b^{\mathrm{Bott}} \alpha = i^\top\big(\mathcal{L}_{j(b)}(p^\top \alpha)\big), \quad \forall b \in \Gamma(B), \alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee).$$

One can show that, for every $b \in \Gamma(B)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee & \xrightarrow{\nabla_b^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^\top} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p^\top} \\ \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{L}_{j(b)}]{} & \Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \end{array}$$

commutes. Since the Bott B-connection on $\Lambda A^\vee$ is flat, $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ is a left $\mathcal{U}(B)$-module: the action

$$\mathcal{U}(B) \times \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee) \xrightarrow{\rtimes} \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$$

satisfies

$$p^\top\big(b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n \rtimes \alpha\big) = \mathcal{L}_{j(b_1)}\mathcal{L}_{j(b_2)} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{j(b_n)}(p^\top \alpha),$$

for all $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in \Gamma(B)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$.

In the matched pair case, the chain map $\check{\tau} : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ defined in Proposition 4.2 admits a simple description in terms of the splitting $j : B \hookrightarrow L$, the associated left $\mathcal{U}(B)$-module structure $\rtimes$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$, and the map $\mathrm{pbw} : \Gamma(S(B)) \to \mathcal{U}(B)$ induced by j and ∇.

Consider the derivation $\mathscr{D}$ of $C^\infty(A[1]\oplus B)=\Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda A^\vee)\otimes\hat{S}(B^\vee))$ defined by

$$\mathscr{D}(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J)=\sum_{k=1}^r\left\{p^\top(\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{\partial_k}\alpha)\otimes\chi_k\cdot\chi^J+p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi_k\cdot\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\right\},$$

for all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$.

Remark 4.7. *A analogue of the derivation $\mathscr{D}$ was introduced recently in* [2, Section 2.1 and Remark 2.3]. *It would be interesting to understand the precise relation between these two derivations.*

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *If the splitting*

$$0\longrightarrow A\underset{p}{\overset{i}{\rightleftarrows}}L\underset{j}{\overset{q}{\rightleftarrows}}B\longrightarrow 0$$

identifies B with a Lie subalgebroid of L, then $\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ is a left $\mathcal{U}(B)$-module and the chain map

$$\breve{\tau}:\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)\to\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}(B^\vee))$$

defined in Proposition 4.2 satisfies

$$\breve{\tau}=\exp(\mathscr{D})\circ\tau$$

and

$$\breve{\tau}(\alpha)=\sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r}\frac{1}{J!}p^\top\big(\mathrm{pbw}(\partial^J)\rtimes\alpha\big)\otimes\chi^J,\qquad\forall\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee).$$

The following Lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.15, which is proved *mutans mutandis*.

Lemma 4.9. *For all $\lambda\in\Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee)\otimes q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee))\subset\Gamma(\Lambda^{u+v}L^\vee)$ and $J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have*

$$h(\lambda\otimes\chi^J)=\begin{cases}\frac{1}{v+|J|}\sum_{k=1}^r\iota_{j(\partial_k)}\lambda\otimes\chi^{J+e_k} & \text{if } v\geqslant 1\\ 0 & \text{if } v=0,\end{cases}$$

where $(\partial_i)_{i\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ denotes any local frame of B and $(\chi_j)_{j\in\{1,\dots,r\}}$ denotes the dual local frame of $B^\vee$.

The following Lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.16, which is proved *mutans mutandis*.

Lemma 4.10. *For all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have $h\Xi(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J)=0$.*

Lemma 4.11. *For all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $J\in\mathbb{N}_0^{\mathrm{rk}(B)}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}h\varrho(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J)=&\frac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k\left\{p^\top(\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{\partial_k}\alpha)\otimes\chi_k\cdot\chi^J+p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi_k\cdot\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\right\}\\ =&\frac{1}{1+|J|}\mathscr{D}(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $L=A\bowtie B$, Proposition 1.6 asserts that

$$d_L(p^\top\alpha)\in\Omega^{u+1,v}\oplus\Omega^{u,v+1},$$

where $\Omega^{u,v}=\Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda^u A^\vee)\otimes q^\top(\Lambda^v B^\vee))$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d_L^\nabla(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J)=d_L(p^\top\alpha)\otimes\chi^J+\sum_t\lambda_t\wedge(p^\top\alpha)\otimes\nabla_{l_t}(\chi^J)&\\ \in(\Omega^{u+1,v}\oplus\Omega^{u,v+1})\otimes_R\Gamma(S^{|J|}(B^\vee))&\end{aligned}$$

and it follows from Lemma 4.9 that

$$\begin{aligned} hd_L^\nabla(p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi^J) =& \frac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k \left\{ i_{j(\partial_k)}d_L(p^\top\alpha)\otimes\chi^{J+e_k} + \sum_t (i_{j(\partial_k)}\lambda_t)\cdot p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi_k\cdot\nabla_{l_t}(\chi^J)\right\} \\ =& \frac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k \left\{ \mathcal{L}_{j(\partial_k)}(p^\top\alpha)\otimes\chi^{J+e_k} + p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi_k\cdot\nabla_{\sum_t (i_{j(\partial_k)}\lambda_t)l_t}(\chi^J)\right\} \\ =& \frac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k \left\{ p^\top(\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{\partial_k}\alpha)\otimes\chi^{J+e_k} + p^\top\alpha\otimes\chi_k\cdot\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows from Lemma 4.10. □

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Reasoning by induction on k, one proves that

$$\mathscr{D}^k\circ\tau(\alpha)\in\Gamma(p^\top(\Lambda A^\vee)\otimes S^k(B^\vee))$$

for all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Using Lemma 4.11 and reasoning by induction on k once again, one proves that

$$(h\varrho)^k\circ\tau = \frac{1}{k!}\mathscr{D}^k\circ\tau$$

for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\breve{\tau} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty (h\varrho)^k\right)\circ\tau = \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}\mathscr{D}^k\right)\circ\tau = \exp(\mathscr{D})\circ\tau.$$

Set $\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \sum_{J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r}\frac{1}{J!}p^\top\big(\operatorname{pbw}(\partial^J)\rtimes\alpha\big)\otimes\chi^J$ for all $\alpha\in\Gamma(\Lambda A^\vee)$. We claim that $(\mathrm{id}-h\varrho)\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \tau(\alpha)$. It then follows from Remark 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 that $\breve{\tau}(\alpha)=\lceil\alpha\rfloor$ — the desired result.

It remains to establish our claim. From

$$0 = \rho(j(\partial_k))\left\langle\partial^K\middle|\chi^J\right\rangle = \left\langle\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K)\middle|\chi^J\right\rangle + \left\langle\partial^K\middle|\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\right\rangle,$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J) = \sum_K \frac{1}{K!}\left\langle\partial^K\middle|\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\chi^J)\right\rangle\chi^K = -\sum_K\frac{1}{K!}\left\langle\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K)\middle|\chi^J\right\rangle\chi^K. \tag{21}$$

From Lemma (4.10), Lemma (4.11), and Equation (21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h\varrho\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \sum_J \tfrac{1}{J!}\tfrac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k \Big\{ & p^\top\Big(\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{\partial_k}\big(\operatorname{pbw}(\partial^J)\rtimes\alpha\big)\Big)\otimes\chi_k\cdot\chi^J \\ & - p^\top\big(\operatorname{pbw}(\partial^J)\rtimes\alpha\big)\otimes\chi_k\cdot\sum_K\tfrac{1}{K!}\left\langle\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K)\middle|\chi^J\right\rangle\chi^K\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h\varrho\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \sum_J \tfrac{1}{J!}\tfrac{1}{1+|J|}\sum_k & p^\top\big(j(\partial_k)\cdot\operatorname{pbw}(\partial^J)\rtimes\alpha\big)\otimes\chi^{J+e_k} \\ & - \sum_K \tfrac{1}{K!}\tfrac{1}{1+|K|}\sum_k p^\top\Big(\operatorname{pbw}\big(\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}(\partial^K)\big)\rtimes\alpha\Big)\otimes\chi^{K+e_k} \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$h\varrho\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\|M|\geqslant 1}}\frac{1}{M!}p^\top\left(\frac{1}{|M|}\sum_k M_k\Big(j(\partial_k)\cdot\operatorname{pbw}\big(\partial^{M-e_k}\big) - \operatorname{pbw}\big(\nabla_{j(\partial_k)}\partial^{M-e_k}\big)\Big)\rtimes\alpha\right)\otimes\chi^M.$$

Finally, it follows from Equation (8) that

$$h\varrho\lceil\alpha\rfloor = \sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\ |M|\geqslant 1}} \frac{1}{M!} p^\top\big(\operatorname{pbw}(\partial^M)\rtimes\alpha\big)\otimes\chi^M = \lceil\alpha\rfloor - p^\top(\alpha)\otimes 1 = \lceil\alpha\rfloor - \tau(\alpha). \qquad \square$$

4.3. **Fedosov dg Lie algebroids.** A $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with base manifold M is a sheaf of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, graded-commutative algebras $\{\mathcal{R}_U | U\subset M \text{ open}\}$ over M, locally isomorphic to $C^\infty(U)\otimes\hat{S}(V^\vee)$, where $U\subset M$ is an open submanifold, V is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space, and $\hat{S}(V^\vee)$ denotes the graded algebra of formal polynomials on V. By $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, we denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, graded-commutative algebra of global sections. By a dg manifold, we mean a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold endowed with a homological vector field, i.e. a vector field Q of degree $+1$ satisfying $[Q,Q]=0$.

Example 4.12. *Let $A\to M$ be a Lie algebroid over $\Bbbk$. Then $A[1]$ is a dg manifold with the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential d_{CE} as homological vector field. According to Vaĭntrob* [31]*, there is a bijection between the Lie algebroid structures on the vector bundle $A\to M$ and the homological vector fields on the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $A[1]$.*

Example 4.13. *Let $\mathfrak{g}=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_i$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space of finite type, i.e. each $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is a finite-dimensional vector space. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be an L_∞ algebra if $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ is a dg manifold.*

Below we recall some basic notations regarding dg vector bundles. For details, see [24, 15]. A dg vector bundle is a vector bundle in the category of dg manifolds. Given a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}\xrightarrow{\pi}\mathcal{M}$ of graded manifolds, its space of sections, denoted $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, is defined to be $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\Gamma(\mathcal{E})_j$, where $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})_j$ consists of degree-j sections, i.e. maps $s\in\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{E}[-j])$ such that $(\pi[-j])\circ s=\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Here $\pi[-j]:\mathcal{E}[-j]\to\mathcal{M}$ is the natural map induced from π — see [24] for more details. When $\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{M}$ is a dg vector bundle, the homological vector fields on $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ naturally induce a degree $+1$ operator $\mathcal{Q}$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, making $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ a dg module over $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Since the space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^\vee)$ of linear functions on $\mathcal{E}$ together with the pull-back of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ generates $C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$, the converse is also true (see [25]).

In this case, the degree $+1$ operator $\mathcal{Q}$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ gives rise to a cochain complex

$$\cdots\to\Gamma(\mathcal{E})_i\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}}\Gamma(\mathcal{E})_{i+1}\to\cdots,$$

whose cohomology group will be denoted by $H^\bullet(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}),\mathcal{Q})$.

A dg Lie algebroid can be thought of as a Lie algebroid object in the category of dg manifolds. For more details, we refer the reader to [24, 23], where dg Lie algebroids are called Q-algebroids. It is simple to see that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a dg manifold, then $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ is naturally a dg Lie algebroid.

One can make sense of "polyvector fields" and "polydifferential operators" on a dg Lie algebroid just as one does for ordinary Lie algebroids. More precisely, a k-vector field on a dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L}\to\mathcal{M}$ is a section of the vector bundle $\wedge^k\mathcal{L}\to\mathcal{M}$ while a k-differential operator is an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes k}$, the tensor product (as left R-modules) of k copies of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$. The universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ of the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L}\to\mathcal{M}$, whose precise definition is a straightforward adaptation of the construction outlined in Section 1.3 for ordinary Lie algebroids, is a dg Hopf algebroid over the dga $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. There exist natural Gerstenhaber algebra structures on the spaces of polyvector fields and polydifferential operators of a dg Lie algebroid. For each $k\geq 0$, there is an induced differential $\mathcal{Q}:\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes k+1}\to\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes k+1}$ of degree $+1$.

Using the comultiplication of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$, one can define the Hochschild coboundary differential

$$d_{\mathscr{H}}:\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes k}\to\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes(k+1)}$$

by the explicit algebraic expression

$$d_{\mathscr{H}}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k) = 1 \otimes u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(u_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k + (-1)^{k+1} u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \otimes 1.$$

Similarly, the Gerstenhaber bracket of a $(u+1)$-differential operator $\phi \in \mathcal{U}(L)^{\otimes(u+1)}$ and a $(v+1)$-differential operator $\psi \in \mathcal{U}(L)^{\otimes(v+1)}$ is the $(u+v+1)$-differential operator

$$[\![\phi, \psi]\!] = \phi \star \psi - (-1)^{uv} \psi \star \phi \in \mathcal{U}(L)^{\otimes(u+v+1)},$$

where $\phi \star \psi \in \mathcal{U}(L)^{\otimes(u+v+1)}$ is defined by

$$\phi \star \psi = \sum_{k=0}^{u} (-1)^{kv} d_0 \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R d_{k-1} \otimes_R (\Delta^v d_k) \cdot \psi \otimes_R d_{k+1} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R d_u$$

if $\phi = d_0 \cdot d_1 \cdots d_u$ for some $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_u \in \mathcal{U}(L)$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a dg Lie algebroid over $\Bbbk$.*

1. *When endowed with the differential $\mathcal{Q}$, the wedge product, and the Schouten bracket, $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{L})$ is a differential Gerstenhaber algebra.*
2. *The cohomology group $H^\bullet\big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{L}), \mathcal{Q}\big)$ together with the wedge product and the Schouten bracket is naturally a Gerstenhaber algebra.*
3. *The Hochschild cohomology, i.e. the total cohomology $H^\bullet\big(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})^{\otimes\bullet}, \mathcal{Q} + d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$ together with the standard tensor product $\otimes_{C^\infty(\mathcal{M})}$, called the cup product, and the Gerstenhaber bracket becomes a Gerstenhaber algebra.*

Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Given a splitting $j : B \to L$ of the short exact sequence of vector bundles $0 \to A \to L \to B \to 0$ and a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on B, one constructs a Fedosov dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ as in Theorem 3.5.

Let $T_{\mathrm{vertical}}B \to B$ denote the formal vertical tangent bundle of the bundle $B \to M$, which consists of all formal vertical tangent vectors of B. Then $\Gamma(B; T_{\mathrm{vertical}}B) \cong \Gamma(SB^\vee \otimes B)$. Indeed $T_{\mathrm{vertical}}B$ is a double vector bundle [21], which is isomorphic to $B \oplus B$. Consider the projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathcal{M} := L[1] \oplus B \to B$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the pull back bundle $\mathrm{pr}^* T_{\mathrm{vertical}}B$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}$. Note that as a graded manifold, $\mathcal{A}$ has support M and is defined by the graded vector bundle $L[1] \oplus B \oplus B \to M$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ be the Fedosov dg manifold as in Theorem 3.5. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a dg Lie algebroid over $\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. It is simple to see that $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebroid. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}$ admits a dg manifold structure compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebroid structure. According to the observation above, it suffices to prove that $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{A})$ is a dg module over $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. It is clear that $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{A}) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B))$, where $\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B)$ denotes the space of formal vertical vector fields on B. From Equation (12), it follows that $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B))$ is stable under the Lie derivative L_Q. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_Q(\xi \cdot (\eta \otimes X)) = Q(\xi) \cdot (\eta \otimes X) + (-1)^{|\xi|} \xi \cdot \mathcal{L}_Q(\eta \otimes X),$$

for all $\xi \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee)$, $\eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee)$, and $X \in \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B)$. Therefore, $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}; \mathcal{A})$ is a dg module over $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. □

Such a dg Lie algebroid is called *Fedosov dg Lie algebroid* associated to the Lie pair (L, A).

Set $\mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} := \Gamma(\wedge^{k+1}B)$ and let $\mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)$ denote the space of formal vertical $(k+1)$-vector fields on B, i.e.

$$\mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) = \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}.$$

Then

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$$

It is clear that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{M}; \wedge^k \mathcal{A}) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(B).$$

Let $\mathscr{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$ denote the space of formal vertical $(k+1)$-polydifferential operators on the vector bundle B, and $\mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} = \bigoplus_{k=-1}^\infty \mathscr{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}$. Set $\mathscr{S} = \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$. There exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes \underbrace{S(B) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(B)}_{k+1 \text{ factors}}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} \mathscr{D}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \quad .$$

To $\chi^I \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_k} \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes \underbrace{S(B) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(B)}_{k+1 \text{ factors}})$, the isomorphism φ associates the polydifferential operator

$$\mathscr{S}^{\otimes k+1} \ni \chi^{M_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \chi^{M_k} \longmapsto \chi^I \cdot \partial^{J_0}(\chi^{M_0}) \cdots \partial^{J_k}(\chi^{M_k}) \in \mathscr{S}.$$

The algebra of functions $C^\infty(L[1] \oplus B)$ is a module over its subalgebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L) \equiv \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes S^0(B^\vee))$. The subspace of $D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ comprised of all $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)$-multilinear polydifferential operators is easily identified to $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$. It is simple to see that the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$, is naturally identified with $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$, which is a dg Hopf algebroid over $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ is a dg Hopf subalgebroid of $D^0_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})^k \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{k-1}_{\mathrm{poly}}.$$

Thus, as a consequence of Proposition 4.14, we have the following

Proposition 4.16. (1) *The cochain complex* $\Big(\mathrm{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)\big), \mathcal{L}_Q\Big)$ *is a differential Gerstenhaber algebra.*

(2) *The cohomology group* $H^\bullet\Big(\mathrm{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)\big), \mathcal{L}_Q\Big)$ *is a Gerstenhaber algebra.*

(3) *The cohomology group* $H^\bullet\Big(\mathrm{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\big), [\![Q \pm m, -]\!]\Big)$ *is a Gerstenhaber algebra.*

4.4. Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$.

Lemma 4.17. *The subspace* $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)$ *of the space* $T^k_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ *of* $(k+1)$*-vector fields on* $L[1] \oplus B$ *is stable under* $\mathcal{L}_\delta$.

The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\quad\mathcal{L}_\delta\quad} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{i+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
\big\uparrow\downarrow \equiv & & \big\uparrow\downarrow \equiv \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow[\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{i+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}
\end{array}$$

Since the vector field δ on $L[1] \oplus B$ is homological, we obtain the cochain complex

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}} \Gamma(\Lambda^{i+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

which admits the descending filtration

$$\mathscr{F}^m = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)} \Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant m-i} B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}.$$

Adapting the proof of Proposition 3.3, we obtain

Proposition 4.18. *The cochain complex* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}, \mathcal{L}_{-\delta}\big)$ *contracts onto* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}, 0\big)$. *More precisely, we have the filtered contraction*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1} A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{0} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{0} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1} A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where $\sigma_\natural$, $\tau_\natural$ *and* $h_\natural$ *are the three maps making the following three diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_\natural} & \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \equiv} & & \Gamma(\Lambda^i A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}} &
\end{array} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \xrightarrow{\tau_\natural} & \Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^i A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \equiv} \\
 & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee \otimes \hat{S} B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{h_\natural} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{i-1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \equiv} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \equiv} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^i L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow[h \otimes \mathrm{id}]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{i-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}
\end{array}$$

Theorem 4.19. *The complex* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}, \mathcal{L}_Q\big)$ *contracts onto* $\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}}, d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}\big)$. *More precisely, we have the (filtered) contraction*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_Q} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_Q} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}(A^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n(A^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(A^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}_\natural} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}_\natural} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{\tau}_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n-1}(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_Q} & \Gamma(\Lambda^n(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_Q} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{n+1}(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^k_{\mathrm{poly}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where $\breve{h}_\natural = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h_\natural \mathcal{L}_\varrho)^k h_\natural$ *and*

$$\breve{\tau}_\natural = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h_\natural \mathcal{L}_\varrho)^k \tau_\natural. \tag{23}$$

The proof requires the following technical results.

Lemma 4.20. *Let* pr_0 *denote the canonical projection* $\hat{S}(B^\vee)\otimes B \twoheadrightarrow S^0(B^\vee)\otimes B$. *For all* $a\in\Gamma(A)$ *and* $j\in\{1,\dots,r\}$, *we have*

$$\mathrm{pr}_0\left([\nabla_a^\natural,\partial_j]\right)=\nabla_a^{\mathrm{Bott}}(\partial_j).$$

Proof. We have seen that, for all $a\in\Gamma(A)$, the operator $\nabla_a^\natural$ is a derivation of $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$, which stabilizes the filtration $\Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geqslant n}(B^\vee))$. Therefore, there exist local sections θ_k^M of $A^\vee$ such that

$$\nabla_a^\natural\chi_k=\sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\|M|\geqslant 1}} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M.$$

It follows that $\nabla_a^\natural$ may be regarded as a section of $\hat{S}^{\geqslant 1}(B^\vee)\otimes B$:

$$\nabla_a^\natural=\sum_{k=1}^r\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\|M|\geqslant 1}} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M\Bigg)\partial_k.$$

On one hand, it follows from

$$\begin{aligned}[\nabla_a^\natural,\partial_j]=\nabla_a^\natural\circ\partial_j-\partial_j\circ\nabla_a^\natural&=\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M\partial_k\circ\partial_j-\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\partial_j\circ(\chi^M\partial_k)\\&=-\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot M_j\chi^{M-e_j}\cdot\partial_k\end{aligned}$$

that

$$\mathrm{pr}_0\left([\nabla_a^\natural,\partial_j]\right)=-\sum_{k=1}^r i_a\theta_k^{e_j}\cdot\partial_k.$$

On the other hand, it follows from

$$0=\rho(a)\underbrace{\langle\chi_k|\partial_j\rangle}_{\delta_{k,j}}=\left\langle\nabla_a^\natural\chi_k\middle|\partial_j\right\rangle+\left\langle\chi_k\middle|\nabla_a^\natural\partial_j\right\rangle$$

and the fact that $\nabla_a^\natural$ stabilizes the subspace $\Gamma(S^1(B))$ of $\Gamma(S(B))$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a^\natural(\partial_j)&=\sum_k\left\langle\chi_k\middle|\nabla_a^\natural\partial_j\right\rangle\partial_k\\&=-\sum_k\left\langle\nabla_a^\natural\chi_k\middle|\partial_j\right\rangle\partial_k\\&=-\sum_k\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\langle\chi^M|\partial_j\rangle\cdot\partial_k\\&=-\sum_k i_a\theta_k^{e_j}\cdot\partial_k.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for all $a\in\Gamma(A)$ and $B\in\Gamma(B)$, we have $\nabla_a^\natural(b)=\nabla_a^{\mathrm{Bott}}(b)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pbw}(\nabla_a^\natural b-\nabla_a^{\mathrm{Bott}}b)&=a\cdot\mathrm{pbw}(b)-\mathrm{pbw}\left(q[a,j(b)]\right)\\&=a\cdot j(b)-j\circ q([a,j(b)])=j(b)\cdot a+\underbrace{p([a,j(b)])}_{\in\Gamma(A)}=0\end{aligned}$$

in $\frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$. The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.21. $\sigma_\natural \mathcal{L}_\varrho \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}$

Proof. Let $(l_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\mathrm{rk}\,L\}}$ denote any local frame of L and let $(\lambda_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\mathrm{rk}\,L\}}$ denote the dual local frame of $L^\vee$. Likewise let $(a_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\mathrm{rk}\,A\}}$ denote any local frame of A and let $(\alpha_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\mathrm{rk}\,A\}}$ denote the dual local frame of $A^\vee$. For all $\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $j_0, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_\natural\Big([\varrho, \tau_\natural\big(\omega \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \partial_{j_n}\big)]\Big) \\
&= \sigma_\natural\Big([\varrho, p^\top\omega \otimes 1 \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n})]\Big) \\
&= \sigma_\natural\Big(d_L(p^\top\omega) \otimes 1 \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \lambda_k \wedge p^\top\omega \otimes [\nabla_{l_k} - i_{l_k}\Xi, 1 \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n}]\Big) \\
&= \sigma\big(d_L(p^\top\omega) \otimes 1\big) \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n} \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sigma_\natural\Big(p^\top\alpha_k \wedge p^\top\omega \otimes [\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, 1 \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n}]\Big) \\
&= d_A\omega \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n} \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sigma_\natural\left(p^\top(\alpha_k \wedge \omega) \otimes \left\{\sum_{t=0}^n 1 \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge [\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial_{j_t}] \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n}\right\}\right) \\
&= d_A\omega \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n} \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sum_{t=0}^n \alpha_k \wedge \omega \otimes \partial_{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{pr}_0[\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial_{j_t}] \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{j_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.20 that

$$\mathrm{pr}_0[\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial_{j_t}] = \nabla^\natural_{a_k}(\partial_{j_t}) = \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{a_k}(\partial_{j_t}).$$

Hence, we conclude that $\sigma_\natural \circ \mathcal{L}_\varrho \circ \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.19. We proceed by homological perturbation (see Lemma 3.1). Starting from the filtered contraction of Proposition 4.18, it suffices to perturb the coboundary operator $\mathcal{L}_{-\delta}$ by the operator $\mathcal{L}_\varrho$. One checks that $\sigma_\natural \mathcal{L}_\varrho h_\natural = 0$. It follows that

$$\breve{\sigma}_\natural := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_\natural(\mathcal{L}_\varrho h_\natural)^k = \sigma_\natural$$

and, making use of Lemma 4.21,

$$\vartheta := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_\natural(\mathcal{L}_\varrho h_\natural)^k \mathcal{L}_\varrho \tau_\natural = \sigma_\natural \mathcal{L}_\varrho \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}.$$

The result follows immediately since $-\delta + \varrho = d_L^{\nabla^\natural} = Q$. □

Corollary 4.22. *The chain maps*

$$\Big(\mathrm{tot}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)\right), \mathcal{L}_Q\Big) \underset{\breve{\tau}_\natural}{\overset{\sigma_\natural}{\rightleftarrows}} \Big(\mathrm{tot}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}\Big)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

Combining Corollary 4.22 with Proposition 4.16, we are lead to the following

Theorem 4.23. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Choosing a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ and a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection determines a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$.*

It is natural to ask whether the induced Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is independent of these choices. This question will be investigated somewhere else.

4.5. **Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{T}^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{poly}}$.** Set $\mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}} := \Gamma((B^\vee)^{\otimes r} \otimes B^{\otimes s})$ and let $\mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)$ denote the space of formal vertical tensors of type (r, s) on B, i.e.

$$\mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) = \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}.$$

Hence $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}$. It is simple to see that

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{M}; (\mathcal{A}^\vee)^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes s}) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}$$

Since $Q := d_L^{\nabla^t}$ is a homological vector field on the graded manifold $L[1] \oplus B$, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_Q$ is a coboundary operator on the space $T^{r,s}(L[1] \oplus B)$ of tensors of type (r, s). Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a dg Lie subalgebroid of $T_{L[1]\oplus B}$, thus we have the following

Lemma 4.24. *The subspace $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)$ of $T^{r,s}(L[1] \oplus B)$ is stable under $\mathcal{L}_Q$. Moreover, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Gamma(\Lambda^k L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes (B^\vee)^{\otimes r} \otimes B^{\otimes s}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{L}_Q} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d_L^{\nabla^t}} \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes (B^\vee)^{\otimes r} \otimes B^{\otimes s}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

By $\sigma_\natural$ and $\breve{\tau}_\natural$, we denote the maps

$$\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B) = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{\sigma\otimes\mathrm{id}} \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}$$

and

$$\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{\breve{\tau}\otimes\mathrm{id}} \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}B^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}} = \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)$$

respectively.

The following proposition can be proved using a similar argument as in Theorem 4.19 and Corollary 4.22.

Proposition 4.25. *The chain maps*

$$\Big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}(B), \mathcal{L}_Q\Big) \underset{\breve{\tau}_\natural}{\overset{\sigma_\natural}{\rightleftarrows}} \Big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{T}^{r,s}_{\mathrm{poly}}, d_A^{\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}}\Big)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

4.6. **Dolgushev–Fedosov quasi-isomorphisms for $\mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$.** Let $C^n := \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathscr{C}^{\otimes n+1}, \mathscr{C})$ denote the space of Hochschild $(n+1)$-cochains of the algebra $\mathscr{C} := C^\infty(L[1] \oplus B)$. The Gerstenhaber bracket of two cochains $\phi \in C^u$ and $\psi \in C^v$ is the cochain

$$[\![\phi, \psi]\!] = \phi \star \psi - (-1)^{uv} \psi \star \phi \in C^{u+v}$$

where $\phi \star \psi \in C^{u+v}$ is defined by

$$\phi \star \psi(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{u+v}) = \sum_{k=0}^{u} (-1)^{kv} \phi\big(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k-1} \otimes \psi(a_k \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{k+v}) \otimes a_{k+1+v} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{u+v}\big).$$

The Gerstenhaber bracket satisfies the graded Jacobi identity. Since the multiplication m in $C^\infty(L[1] \oplus B)$ is associative, $[\![m, m]\!] = 0$ and the standard Hochschild coboundary operator $[\![m, -]\!]$ turns $C^\bullet$ into a cochain complex.

The space $D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ of polydifferential operators on $L[1] \oplus B$ is a subspace of $C^\bullet$ containing m and closed under the Gerstenhaber bracket. The Hochschild cohomology of the Fedosov dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ is the cohomology of the cochain complex $\big(D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B), [\![Q \pm m, -]\!]\big)$. The algebra of functions $C^\infty(L[1] \oplus B)$ is a module over its subalgebra $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L) \equiv \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes S^0(B^\vee))$. The subspace of $D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ comprised of all $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)$-multilinear polydifferential operators is easily identified to $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$.

Since $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a dg Lie subalgebroid of the tangent bundle $T_{\mathcal{M}} \to \mathcal{M}$ of the Fedosov dg manifold $\mathcal{M} = (L[1] \oplus B, Q)$, it follows that the subspace $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ of $D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ is stable under the Hochschild coboundary operator $[\![Q \pm m, -]\!]$ of the Fedosov dg manifold $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$.

We also have the following

Lemma 4.26. *The subspace $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}$ of $D^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(L[1] \oplus B)$ is stable under $[\![-\delta, -]\!]$.*

Lemma 4.27. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![\delta, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
{\scriptstyle [\![m, -]\!]}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle [\![m, -]\!]} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![\delta, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v-1}_{\mathrm{poly}}
\end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. It suffices to verify that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![\delta, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi}\uparrow \equiv & & \equiv \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1}) & \xrightarrow{\delta \otimes \mathrm{id}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1})
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![m, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi}\uparrow \equiv & & \equiv \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes (-1)^{v-1} d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1})
\end{array}$$

commute. □

It follows that

Proposition 4.28. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
{\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta,-]\!]} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

is a double complex.

Its total complex

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{tot}^n\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta\pm m,-]\!]} \mathrm{tot}^{n+1}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) \to \cdots$$

admits the descending filtration $\mathscr{F}^0 \supset \mathscr{F}^1 \supset \mathscr{F}^2 \supset \mathscr{F}^3 \supset \cdots$ defined by

$$\mathscr{F}^m = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\mathrm{rk}(L)} \Gamma(\Lambda^k(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \varphi\Big(\bigoplus_{q=-1}^{\infty} \Gamma\big(\hat{S}^{\geqslant m-k}(B^\vee) \otimes \underbrace{S(B)\otimes\cdots\otimes S(B)}_{q+1 \text{ factors}}\big)\Big).$$

We note that, since $\mathrm{pbw} : \Gamma(S(B)) \to \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}}$ is an isomorphism of R-coalgebras,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(S^0(B)) & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \Gamma(S(B)) & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \Gamma(S(B))^{\otimes 2} & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \Gamma(S(B))^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pbw}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pbw}^{\otimes 2}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pbw}^{\otimes 3}} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \mathcal{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \mathcal{D}^2_{\mathrm{poly}} \xrightarrow{d_{\mathscr{H}}} \cdots
\end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of cochain complexes of R-modules.

Adapting once again the proof of Proposition 3.3, we obtain

Proposition 4.29. *The cochain complex* $\big(\mathrm{tot}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right), [\![-\delta\pm m,-]\!]\big)$ *contracts onto* $\big(\mathrm{tot}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right), \mathrm{id}\otimes \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$. *More precisely, we have the filtered contraction*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{tot}^n\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta\pm m,-]\!]} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{tot}^n\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\natural} \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle h_\natural} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_\natural} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{tot}^n\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta\pm m,-]\!]} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1}\left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\right) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where $\sigma_\natural$, $\tau_\natural$ and $h_\natural$ are the three maps making the following three diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee)\otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{\quad\sigma_\natural\quad} & \\
{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi}\uparrow \equiv & & \Gamma(\Lambda^u A^\vee)\otimes_R \mathcal{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))\otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma\otimes \mathrm{pbw}^{\otimes v+1}} &
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\tau_\natural} & \Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^u A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi} \equiv \\ & \xrightarrow[\tau \otimes (\mathrm{pbw}^{-1})^{\otimes v+1}]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{h_\natural} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{u-1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^v_{\mathrm{poly}} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi} \equiv & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi} \equiv \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^u L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1}) & \xrightarrow[h \otimes \mathrm{id}]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{u-1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \otimes_R \Gamma((SB)^{\otimes v+1}) \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.30. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v+1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![\varrho, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v+1}_{\mathrm{poly}} \\ {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^p L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![\varrho, -]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{v}_{\mathrm{poly}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Sketch of proof. We have $[\![\varrho, m]\!] = 0$ because, for every $l \in \Gamma(L)$, the operator $i_l \varrho$ is a derivation for the multiplication m on $C^\infty(L[1] \oplus B)$. □

It follows from Proposition 4.28 and Lemma 4.30 that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^1_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \cdots \\ {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^0_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \cdots \\ {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle -[\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle [\![m,-]\!]}\uparrow & & \\ \Gamma(\Lambda^0 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \Gamma(\Lambda^2 L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^{-1}_{\mathrm{poly}} & \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta+\varrho,-]\!]} & \cdots \end{array}$$

is a double complex.

Indeed, the operator $[\![\varrho, -]\!]$ is a perturbation of the filtered complex

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{tot}^n \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) \xrightarrow{[\![-\delta \pm m, -]\!]} \mathrm{tot}^{n+1} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) \to \cdots$$

Proposition 4.31. *The cochain complex* $\left(\mathrm{tot} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right), [\![Q \pm m, -]\!] \right)$ *contracts onto* $\left(\mathrm{tot} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right), d^{\mathcal{U}}_A \otimes \pm d_{\mathscr{H}} \right)$. *More precisely, we have the (filtered) contraction*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{tot}^n \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \xrightarrow{[\![Q \pm m, -]\!]} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_\natural} & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{tot}^n \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(A^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \xrightarrow{d^{\mathcal{U}}_A \otimes \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(A^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \breve{\tau}_\natural \downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \breve{h}_\natural} & \breve{\tau}_\natural \downarrow & \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{tot}^n \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \xrightarrow{[\![Q \pm m, -]\!]} & \mathrm{tot}^{n+1} \left(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet(L^\vee)) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}} \right) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

where $\breve{\tau}_\natural = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!])^k \circ \tau_\natural$ *and* $\breve{h}_\natural = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (h_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!])^k \circ h_\natural$.

The proof requires the following technical results.

Lemma 4.32. *Let* pr_0 *denote the canonical projection* $\hat{S}(B^\vee)\otimes S(B) \twoheadrightarrow S^0(B^\vee)\otimes S(B)$. *For all* $a\in\Gamma(A)$ *and* $J\in\mathbb{N}_0^r$, *we have*

$$\mathrm{pr}_0\left([\![\nabla_a^\natural,\partial^J]\!]\right)=\nabla_a^{\mathrm{Bott}}(\partial^J).$$

Proof. We have seen that, for all $a\in\Gamma(A)$, the operator $\nabla_a^\natural$ is a derivation of $\Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$, which stabilizes the filtration $\Gamma(\hat{S}^{\geqslant n}(B^\vee))$. Therefore, there exist local sections θ_k^M of $L^\vee$ such that

$$\nabla_a^\natural\chi_k=\sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\|M|\geqslant 1}} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M.$$

It follows that $\nabla_a^\natural$ may be regarded as a section of $\hat{S}^{\geqslant 1}(B^\vee)\otimes B$:

$$\nabla_a^\natural=\sum_{k=1}^r\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{M\in\mathbb{N}_0^r\\|M|\geqslant 1}} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M\Bigg)\partial_k.$$

On one hand, it follows from

$$[\![\nabla_a^\natural,\partial^J]\!]=\nabla_a^\natural\star\partial^J-\partial^J\star\nabla_a^\natural=\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\chi^M\partial^{J+e_k}-\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\cdot\left(\partial^J\star\chi^M\partial_k\right),$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pr}_0\left([\![\nabla_a^\natural,\partial^J]\!]\right)&=-\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\frac{J!}{M!(J-M)!}\partial^M(\chi^M)\cdot\partial^{J-M+e_k}\\&=-\sum_{k=1}^r\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\frac{J!}{(J-M)!}\cdot\partial^{J-M+e_k}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from

$$0=\rho(a)\underbrace{\langle\chi^K|\partial^J\rangle}_{K!\cdot\delta_{K,J}}=\left\langle\nabla_a^\natural\chi^K\middle|\partial^J\right\rangle+\left\langle\chi^K\middle|\nabla_a^\natural\partial^J\right\rangle$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a^\natural(\partial^J)&=\sum_K\frac{1}{K!}\left\langle\chi^K\middle|\nabla_a^\natural\partial^J\right\rangle\partial^K\\&=-\sum_K\frac{1}{K!}\left\langle\nabla_a^\natural\chi^K\middle|\partial^J\right\rangle\partial^K\\&=-\sum_K\frac{1}{K!}\left\langle\sum_k K_k\chi^{K-e_k}\nabla_a^\natural\chi_k\middle|\partial^J\right\rangle\partial^K\\&=-\sum_K\frac{1}{K!}\sum_k K_k\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1} i_a\theta_k^M\underbrace{\langle\chi^{K-e_k+M}|\partial^J\rangle}_{J!\cdot\delta_{K-e_k+M,J}}\partial^K\\&=-\sum_k\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1}\frac{J!}{(J-M+e_k)!}(J_k-M_k+1)i_a\theta_k^M\partial^{J-M+e_k}\\&=-\sum_k\sum_{|M|\geqslant 1}\frac{J!}{(J-M)!}i_a\theta_k^M\partial^{J-M+e_k}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 4.33. $\sigma_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathcal{U}}$

Proof. Let $(l_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\operatorname{rk} L\}}$ denote any local frame of L and let $(\lambda_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\operatorname{rk} L\}}$ denote the dual local frame of $L^\vee$. Likewise let $(a_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\operatorname{rk} A\}}$ denote any local frame of A and let $(\alpha_k)_{k\in\{1,\dots,\operatorname{rk} A\}}$ denote the dual local frame of $A^\vee$. For all $\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $J_0, \dots, J_n \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_\natural\Big([\![\varrho, \tau_\natural\big(\omega \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_n})\big)]\!]\Big) \\
&= \sigma_\natural\Big([\![\varrho, p^\top\omega \otimes \varphi(1 \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_n})]\!]\Big) \\
&= \sigma_\natural\Big(d_L(p^\top\omega) \otimes \varphi(1 \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_n}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \lambda_k \wedge p^\top\omega \otimes [\![\nabla_{l_k} - i_{l_k}\Xi, \varphi(1 \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_n})]\!]\Big) \\
&= \sigma\big(d_L(p^\top\omega) \otimes 1\big) \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_n}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sigma_\natural\Big(p^\top\alpha_k \wedge p^\top\omega \otimes [\![\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \varphi(1 \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_n})]\!]\Big) \\
&= d_A\omega \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_n}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sigma_\natural\left(p^\top(\alpha_k \wedge \omega) \otimes \varphi\Big\{\sum_{t=0}^n 1 \otimes \partial^{J_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes [\![\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial^{J_t}]\!] \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^{J_n}\Big\}\right) \\
&= d_A\omega \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_n}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_k \sum_{t=0}^n \alpha_k \wedge \omega \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_0}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}\big(\operatorname{pr}_0[\![\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial^{J_t}]\!]\big) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_n}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.32 that

$$\operatorname{pbw}\big(\operatorname{pr}_0[\![\nabla^\natural_{a_k}, \partial^{J_t}]\!]\big) = \operatorname{pbw}\big(\nabla^\natural_{a_k}(\partial^{J_t})\big) = a_k \cdot \operatorname{pbw}(\partial^{J_t}).$$

Hence, we conclude that $\sigma_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathcal{U}}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.31. We proceed by homological perturbation (see Lemma 3.1). Starting from the filtered contraction of Proposition 4.29, it suffices to perturb the coboundary operator $[\![-\delta \pm m, -]\!]$ by the operator $[\![\varrho, -]\!]$. One checks that $\sigma_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ h_\natural = 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\breve{\sigma}_\natural := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_\natural \circ ([\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ h_\natural)^k = \sigma_\natural$$

and, making use of Lemma 4.33,

$$\vartheta := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sigma_\natural \circ ([\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ h_\natural)^k \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ \tau_\natural = \sigma_\natural \circ [\![\varrho, -]\!] \circ \tau_\natural = d_A^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

The result follows immediately since $-\delta + \varrho = d_L^{\nabla^\natural} = Q$. □

Corollary 4.34. *The chain maps*

$$\Big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\big), [\![Q \pm m, -]\!]\Big) \underset{\breve{\tau}_\natural}{\overset{\sigma_\natural}{\rightleftarrows}} \Big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\big), d_A^{\mathcal{U}} \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\Big)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms.

As a consequence of Corollary 4.34 and Proposition 4.16, we see that $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure. In summary, we have

Theorem 4.35. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair. Choosing a splitting $j : L/A \to L$ of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0$ and a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on L/A extending the Bott A-connection determines a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$.*

As in the case of polyvector fields, it is natural to ask whether the induced Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is independent of these choices. This question will be investigated somewhere else.

4.7. **Matched pair case.** Let $A \bowtie B$ be a matched pair of Lie algebroids. It is standard that $A \oplus B \to A$ is a Lie algebroid [22], whose structure maps can be described explicitly as follows. The space of sections of the bundle $A \oplus B \to A$ can be identified with $C^\infty(A) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(B)$. The anchor map is essentially determined by the map $\rho_v : \Gamma(B) \to \mathfrak{X}(A)$ given by

$$\rho_v(b)(l_\xi) = \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_b \xi, \qquad \rho_v(b)(\pi^* f) = \pi^*(\rho(b)(f)),$$

for all $b \in \Gamma(B)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(A^\vee)$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, where $\pi : A \to M$ is the projection. The bracket between two sections in $C^\infty(A) \otimes_{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(B)$ is the extension of the Lie bracket of $\Gamma(B)$ by Leibniz rule.

Similarly, $A \oplus B$ is also a Lie algebroid over B. The following classical result is due to Mackenzie [22].

Lemma 4.36. *If $A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair of Lie algebroids, then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \oplus B & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

is a double Lie algebroid.

According to Gracia-Saz and Mehta [11], any double Lie algebroid induces a pair of dg Lie algebroids. As an immediate consequence, we have the following

Corollary 4.37. *If $A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair of Lie algebroids, then $(A[1] \oplus B, d^{\mathrm{Bott}}_A)$ is a dg Lie algebroid over $(A[1], d_A)$.*

Here the dg manifold structures on $(A[1] \oplus B, d^{\mathrm{Bott}}_A)$ and $(A[1], d_A)$ are induced, respectively, from the Lie algebroid structures on $A \oplus B \to B$ and $A \to M$ as in Example 4.12. In what follows, denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the dg manifold $A[1] \oplus B$.

Again the space of sections of $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ can be naturally identified with $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B)$. The bracket on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B)$ is given by

$$[\xi_1 \otimes b_1, \xi_2 \otimes b_2] = \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \otimes [b_1, b_2] + \xi_1 \wedge \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{b_1} \xi_2 \otimes b_2 - \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{b_2} \xi_1 \wedge \xi_2 \otimes b_1 \tag{24}$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \Gamma(B)$. And the anchor map is given by

$$\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B) \xrightarrow{\bar\rho} \mathrm{Der}(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee), \qquad \bar\rho(\xi \otimes b)(\eta) = \xi \wedge \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_b \eta \tag{25}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$.

Finally, the induced differential on the space of sections in $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ is simply the the coboundary differential corresponding to the Bott representation $d^{\mathrm{Bott}}_A : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes B) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^\vee \otimes B)$.

According to Proposition 4.14, the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ induces a differential Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{B}) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \wedge^\bullet B)$, where the differential is the coboundary differential corresponding to the Bott representation

$$d^{\mathrm{Bott}}_A : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \wedge^\bullet B) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^\vee \otimes \wedge^\bullet B), \tag{26}$$

and the Gerstenhaber bracket is the Schouten bracket of the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$, which is essentially the extension of Equations (24) and (25) by graded Leibniz rule. Note that the cochain complex (26) is exactly the same one on the r.h.s. of Corollary 4.22. Indeed we have the following

Theorem 4.38. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair and let $j : B = L/A \to L$ be a splitting of the short exact sequence $0 \to A \to L \to B \to 0$ such that $j(B)$ is a Lie subalgebroid of L. If we identify B with $j(B)$, then $L = A \bowtie B$ is a matched pair of Lie algebroids. Choose a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on B extending the Bott A-connection. Let $(L[1] \oplus B, Q)$ be the corresponding Fedosov dg-manifold. Then the chain map $\sigma_\natural$ as in Theorem 4.22 (1):*

$$\Big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee) \otimes_R \mathscr{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}(B)\big), \mathcal{L}_Q \Big) \xrightarrow{\sigma_\natural} \Big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \Lambda^\bullet B)\big), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}} \Big)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of differential Gerstenhaber algebras. Therefore the Gerstenhaber algebra $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{X}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ is isomorphic to the Gerstenhaber algebra $H^\bullet\Big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \Lambda^\bullet B), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}\big)$ induced from the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$.

Next, consider the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$ of the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$.

Proposition 4.39. *As dg Hopf algebroids over $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)$, the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B})$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)$, whose structure maps are described below.*

1. *the product is generated by the following rule: both $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \mathcal{U}(B)$ are subalgebras, and*
$$(1 \otimes d)(\xi \otimes 1) = (\xi \otimes 1)(1 \otimes d) \pm d \cdot \xi,$$
$\forall d \in \mathcal{U}(B)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$, and $d \cdot \xi = \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{b_1} \cdots \nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{b_k} \xi$ if $d = b_1 \cdots b_k$;
2. *both source and target maps $\alpha, \beta : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \to \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)$ are inclusion;*
3. *the differential $D : \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B) \to \Gamma(\wedge^{\bullet+1} A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)$ is the coboundary differential with $\mathcal{U}(B)$ being considered as a natural A-module by identifying $\mathcal{U}(B) \cong \frac{\mathcal{U}(L)}{\mathcal{U}(L)\Gamma(A)}$, on which the Lie algebroid A acts by mutiplication from the left.*
4. *the comultiplication Δ is defined by the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)\big) \otimes_{\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)} \big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)\big) \\ \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \downarrow \cong \\ & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{U}(B)}]{} & \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B), \end{array}$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{U}(B)} : \mathcal{U}(B) \to \mathcal{U}(B) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)$ denotes the comultiplication of the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{U}(B)$.

As a consequence, we have

Corollary 4.40. *For a matched pair of Lie algebroids, the Hochschild cochain complex $\big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)^{\otimes_\bullet}, D \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$ of the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ coincides with $\big(\operatorname{tot}\big(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}}\big), d_A^{\mathcal{U}} \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$, the r.h.s. one in Corollary 4.34 (1).*

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ is a dg Lie algebroid, according to Proposition 4.14, $H^\bullet\big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)^{\otimes_\bullet}, D \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$ is a Gerstenhaber algebra. Hence, there exists an induced Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$. In fact, we have the following

Theorem 4.41. *Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 4.38, the Gerstenhaber algebra $H^\bullet_{\mathrm{CE}}(A, \mathcal{D}^\bullet_{\mathrm{poly}})$ as in Theorem 4.35 is isomorphic to the Gerstenhaber algebra $H^\bullet\big(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee) \otimes_R \mathcal{U}(B)^{\otimes_\bullet}, D \pm d_{\mathscr{H}}\big)$ induced from the dg Lie algebroid $\mathcal{B} \to A[1]$ as in Corollary 4.40.*

Proposition 4.38 and Proposition 4.41 essentially follow from the following

Proposition 4.42. *Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 4.38, the map*

$$\big((\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee\otimes B), d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}), (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee), d_A)\big) \xrightarrow{\breve{\tau}} \big((\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee\otimes \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B)), \mathcal{L}_Q), (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee\otimes \hat{S}B^\vee), Q)\big) \quad (27)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of dg Lie–Rinehart algebras.

In what follows, we identify L with $A\oplus B$, and therefore we have the decomposition

$$\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet L^\vee)\cong \bigoplus \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee\otimes \wedge^\bullet B^\vee).$$

The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma 4.43. *We have*

$$\breve{\tau}: \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee\otimes B)\to \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee\otimes \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B)).$$

Let $X^\nabla\in\Gamma(L^\vee\otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 2}B^\vee\otimes B)$ be the 1-form valued in formal vertical vector fields of B as in Theorem 3.5. Write

$$X^\nabla = X^{1,0}+X^{0,1},$$

where $X^{0,1}\in\Gamma(A^\vee\otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 2}B^\vee\otimes B)$ and $X^{1,0}\in\Gamma(B^\vee\otimes \hat{S}^{\geqslant 2}B^\vee\otimes B)$. Note that a torsion-free L-connection ∇ on B extending the Bott A-connection corresponds to a torsion-free B-connection ∇^B on B. Hence $d_L^\nabla:\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee\otimes \hat{S}B^\vee)\to\Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1}L^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)$ is decomposed as $d_L^\nabla = d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}+d_B^{\nabla^B}$, where

$$d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}:\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee\otimes\Lambda^\bullet B^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)\to\Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1}A^\vee\otimes\Lambda^\bullet B^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)$$

is the coboundary differential of the Lie algebroid A with respect to the induced Bott representation on $\Lambda^\bullet B^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee$, while

$$d_B^{\nabla^B}:\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee\otimes\Lambda^\bullet B^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)\to\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee\otimes\Lambda^{\bullet+1}B^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee)$$

is the covariant differential of the Lie algebroid B with respect to the B-connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{Bott}}\otimes 1+1\otimes\nabla^B$ on $\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee\otimes\hat{S}B^\vee$.

Set

$$D^{1,0}=-\delta+d_B^{\nabla^B}+X^{1,0}\qquad\text{and}\qquad D^{0,1}=d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}+X^{0,1}.$$

We have

Lemma 4.44. *Let D be the Fedosov differential as in Theorem 3.5 corresponding to the L-connection on B induced by ∇^B. Then $D=D^{0,1}+D^{1,0}$ and $(D^{0,1})^2=(D^{1,0})^2=D^{0,1}D^{1,0}+D^{1,0}D^{0,1}=0$.*

Since $\mathcal{L}_D\circ\breve{\tau}=\breve{\tau}\circ d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}$, from Lemma 4.43, it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\circ\breve{\tau}=0$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{D^{0,1}}\circ\breve{\tau}=\breve{\tau}\circ d_A^{\mathrm{Bott}}.$$

We also need the following technical lemma, which can be proved by the Fedosov iteration method. We leave it for the reader.

Lemma 4.45. *If $\alpha\in\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee\otimes\mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B))$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\alpha=0$ and $\sigma_\natural(\alpha)=0$, then $\alpha=0$.*

We are now ready to prove Proposition 4.42, which in fact is a direct corollary of the following

Lemma 4.46. *For any $b,c\in\Gamma(B)$, and $\xi\in\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee)$, we have the following identities*

$$[\breve{\tau}b,\breve{\tau}c]=\breve{\tau}[b,c]; \quad (28)$$
$$\breve{\tau}(\xi\otimes b)=\breve{\tau}(\xi)\cdot\breve{\tau}b; \quad (29)$$
$$[\breve{\tau}b,\breve{\tau}\xi]=\breve{\tau}(\nabla_b^{\mathrm{Bott}}\xi); \quad (30)$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \breve{\tau}[b,c] - [\breve{\tau}b, \breve{\tau}c]$. From Lemma 4.43, it is clear that $\alpha \in \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \mathscr{X}_{\mathrm{vertical}}(B))$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\alpha = \mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\breve{\tau}[b,c] - \mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}[\breve{\tau}b, \breve{\tau}c] = \mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\breve{\tau}[b,c] - [\mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\breve{\tau}b, \breve{\tau}c] - [\breve{\tau}b, \mathcal{L}_{D^{1,0}}\breve{\tau}c] = 0$$

Finally, one can check directly using Equations 22 and (23) that

$$\sigma_\natural[\breve{\tau}b, \breve{\tau}c] = [b,c].$$

Therefore we have

$$\sigma_\natural\alpha = \sigma_\natural(\breve{\tau}[b,c]) - \sigma_\natural[\breve{\tau}b, \breve{\tau}c] = 0.$$

From Lemma 4.45, it follows that $\alpha = 0$. Equation (28) is thus proved. Equations (29) and (30) can be proved in a similar fashion. □

References

1. Panagiotis Batakidis and Yannick Voglaire, *Atiyah classes and dg-Lie algebroids for matched pairs*, ArXiv e-prints (2016), `arXiv:1601.06254`.
2. Ari Blom and Hessel Posthuma, *An index theorem for Lie algebroids*, ArXiv e-prints (2015), `arXiv:1512.07863`.
3. Raoul Bott, *Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations*, Lectures on algebraic and differential topology (Second Latin American School in Math., Mexico City, 1971), Springer, Berlin, 1972, Notes by Lawrence Conlon, with two appendices by J. Stasheff, pp. 1–94. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 279. MR 0362335
4. Ronald Brown, *The twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem*, Simposio di Topologia (Messina, 1964), Edizioni Oderisi, Gubbio, 1965, pp. 33–37. MR 0220273 (36 #3339)
5. Damien Calaque, Vasiliy Dolgushev, and Gilles Halbout, *Formality theorems for Hochschild chains in the Lie algebroid setting*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **612** (2007), 81–127. MR 2364075
6. Ana Cannas da Silva and Alan Weinstein, *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*, Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, vol. 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Berkeley Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, CA, 1999. MR 1747916
7. Alberto S. Cattaneo and Florian Schätz, *Equivalences of higher derived brackets*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 11, 2450–2460. MR 2440258
8. Vasiliy Dolgushev, *Covariant and equivariant formality theorems*, Adv. Math. **191** (2005), no. 1, 147–177. MR 2102846 (2006c:53101)
9. Samuel Eilenberg and Saunders Mac Lane, *On the groups of $H(\Pi, n)$. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 55–106. MR 0056295 (15,54b)
10. Claudio Emmrich and Alan Weinstein, *The differential geometry of Fedosov's quantization*, Lie theory and geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 217–239. MR 1327535
11. Alfonso Gracia-Saz and Rajan A. Mehta, *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 4, 1236–1275. MR 2581370
12. Simone Gutt, *An explicit $\star$-product on the cotangent bundle of a Lie group*, Lett. Math. Phys. **7** (1983), no. 3, 249–258. MR 706215
13. Johannes Huebschmann and Tornike Kadeishvili, *Small models for chain algebras*, Math. Z. **207** (1991), no. 2, 245–280. MR 1109665 (92f:55029)
14. Maxim Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216. MR 2062626 (2005i:53122)
15. Alexei Kotov and Thomas Strobl, *Characteristic classes associated to Q-bundles*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **12** (2015), no. 1, 1550006, 26. MR 3293862
16. Tom Lada and Jim Stasheff, *Introduction to SH Lie algebras for physicists*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **32** (1993), no. 7, 1087–1103. MR 1235010
17. Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Kapranov dg-manifolds and Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt isomorphisms*, ArXiv e-prints (2014), `arXiv:1408.2903`.
18. Hsuan-Yi Liao and Mathieu Stiénon, *Emmrich–Weinstein theorem for graded manifolds*, ArXiv e-prints (2015), `arXiv:1508.02780`.
19. Hsuan-Yi Liao, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *Kontsevich–Duflo theorem for Lie pairs*, ArXiv e-prints (2016).
20. Jiang-Hua Lu, *Poisson homogeneous spaces and Lie algebroids associated to Poisson actions*, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), no. 2, 261–304. MR 1430434
21. Kirill C. H. Mackenzie, *Double Lie algebroids and the double of a Lie bialgebroid*, ArXiv Mathematics e-prints (1998), `arXiv:math/9808081`.
22. ———, *Ehresmann doubles and Drinfel'd doubles for Lie algebroids and Lie bialgebroids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **658** (2011), 193–245. MR 2831518

23. Rajan A. Mehta, *Supergroupoids, double structures, and equivariant cohomology*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2006, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley. MR 2709144
24. ———, *Q-algebroids and their cohomology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), no. 3, 263–293. MR 2534186
25. Rajan A. Mehta, Mathieu Stiénon, and Ping Xu, *The Atiyah class of a dg-vector bundle*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (2015), no. 4, 357–362. MR 3319134
26. Tahar Mokri, *Matched pairs of Lie algebroids*, Glasgow Math. J. **39** (1997), no. 2, 167–181. MR 1460632
27. Victor Nistor, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu, *Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids*, Pacific J. Math. **189** (1999), no. 1, 117–152. MR 1687747 (2000c:58036)
28. George S. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 195–222. MR 0154906 (27 #4850)
29. Jim Stasheff, *Differential graded Lie algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras and higher homotopy algebras*, Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1510, Springer, Berlin, 1992, pp. 120–137. MR 1183483
30. Dmitry E. Tamarkin, *Operadic proof of M. Kontsevich's formality theorem*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1999, Thesis (Ph.D.)–The Pennsylvania State University. MR 2699544
31. Arkady Yu. Vaĭntrob, *Lie algebroids and homological vector fields*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **52** (1997), no. 2(314), 161–162. MR 1480150
32. Ping Xu, *Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), no. 3, 545–560. MR 1675117
33. ———, *Quantum groupoids*, Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001), no. 3, 539–581. MR 1815717 (2002f:17033)

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University

E-mail address: stienon@psu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University

E-mail address: ping@math.psu.edu